



FINAL REPORT

BURLINGTON BP15(17) WINOOSKI-HOWARD-ST PAUL INTERSECTION SCOPING STUDY

3/2/2018



PREPARED FOR:
BURLINGTON VERMONT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

SUBMITTED BY:
RSG

180 Battery Street, Suite 350
Burlington, VT 05401
802.383.0118
www.rsginc.com

IN COOPERATION WITH:
HARTGEN ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSOCIATES, INC.
POINT OF BEGINNING, LAND SURVEYING AND CONSULTING



FINAL REPORT

PREPARED FOR: BURLINGTON DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1

1.0 BURLINGTON'S FIVE CORNERS..... 3

1.1 | Study Area Demographics 4

1.2 | Adjacent Land Use 5

1.3 | Motor Vehicle Mobility 6

1.4 | Transit Mobility 6

1.5 | Pedestrian Mobility 7

1.6 | Bicycle Mobility 7

2.0 STUDY AREA MAPS 8

2.1 | Zoning..... 8

2.2 | Nearby Destinations 9

2.3 | Historic and Archaeological Resources..... 10

2.4 | Natural Resources..... 11

2.5 | Site Specific Constraints..... 11

3.0 PAST STUDIES 12

3.1 | 2009 VTrans Reconstruction 12

3.2 | AARP Livable Communities Grant Study..... 13

3.3 | Plan BTV South End 14

Mobility..... 14

Parks and Pocket Parks 15

Stormwater Management 15

Reference to WHSP Intersection..... 15

3.4 | Plan BTV Walk Bike 16

3.5 | Open Space Protection Plan..... 17

3.6 | Winooski Avenue Circulation Study 18

4.0 ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS / TRAFFIC VOLUMES.. 19

Roadway Right of Way 19

Utility Infrastructure 19

Past Counts 20

Supplemental 2016 Count 21

Motorized Vehicles 21

Pedestrians 24

Bicycles	25	Volume to Capacity Ratio Definition	52
5.0 CRASH ANALYSIS	26	Level of Service Results	52
6.0 PUBLIC MEETING – LOCAL CONCERNS	27	12.2 Queuing Analysis	54
7.0 PURPOSE AND NEED.....	29	12.3 Traffic Congestion Discussion	55
Purpose	29	Alternative 0 (No Build)	55
Need	29	Alternative 1 (Demonstration Project).....	55
8.0 ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION.....	30	Alternative 2 (Signal)	55
9.0 DESIGN CRITERIA	31	Alternative 3 (Dual Signal)	55
Geometry	31	Alternatives 4 and 5 (Dual and Single Roundabouts)	56
Design Vehicles	31	13.0 ALTERNATIVES COMPARISON	57
Level of Service	32	14.0 PUBLIC MEETING – ALTERNATIVES PRESENTATION ..	58
10.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	33	15.0 DEMONSTRATION PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION	59
11.0 ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION.....	34	16.0 RECOMMENDATION AND NEXT STEPS	62
Alternative 0: No Build.....	36	Recommendation.....	62
Alternative 1: Quick Build Alternative Project	37	Considerations in Recommendation.....	63
Alternative 2: New Signal System, Existing Geometry	39	Viability of The Recommended Alternative.....	63
Alternative 3: New Signal System, Realigned Roadway	41	Funding	63
Alternative 4: Dual Roundabout, Realigned Roadway.....	44	Details and Design Modifications	64
Alternative 5: Modern Roundabout, Existing Geometry.....	47	Additional Considerations for Long-Term Design.....	66
Alternatives Considered but not Advanced	49		
12.0 TRAFFIC EVALUATION	51		
12.1 Vehicle LOS Analysis	51		
Level of Service Definition	51		

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Why this intersection?

The intersection of St Paul Street, Howard Street, and South Winooski Avenue is a gateway to several neighborhoods, and it is an emerging neighborhood hotspot of its own. The five-legged intersection operates reasonably well for motor vehicles, which are guided by traffic lights. However, it is a challenging environment for pedestrian, wheel chair, bicyclist, vision impaired and other non-motorized travelers. Most notably, there are no pedestrian signals or push buttons for people to safely cross the intersection on foot. Nearby residents that travel through or observe the intersection daily were the first to request safety and accessibility improvements several years ago, and these same community members have been engaged throughout this study.

The study has been conducted to be consistent with the City's past planning efforts, including planBTV Walk-Bike and planBTV South End. The results will inform future planning along Winooski Avenue.

Multimodal Perspective

This study placed an emphasis on pedestrian and bicycle safety and improved accessibility. The steering committee and members of the public recognized and supported a reduced efficiency for motor vehicles within reason, as long as safety is not jeopardized and volumes are not redirected elsewhere.

Pop-Up Demonstration (August 2017)

A pop-up demonstration project (based on Alternative 1) was in place over the course of 5 days, which allowed intersection users and the project team to better understand the look and feel and impacts and benefits of curb extensions at the intersection. Feedback received was largely positive.

Study Findings

Of the 5 alternatives presented to the public, there was overwhelming support for preserving the 5-legged intersection geometry (as opposed to splitting the intersection into two separate intersections) and the use of a signal (as opposed to a roundabout), but including the following major features: pedestrian signals and ADA upgrades, an accessible crosswalk of South Winooski Ave, and curb extensions that create plaza space and reduce curb radii. RSG recommends conducting a semi-permanent demonstration project with curb extensions during the summer of 2018, with the long-term goal of refining the design to address vehicle turning maneuvers, and implementing Alternative 2 of this study, with these minor design modifications.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

1.0 BURLINGTON'S FIVE CORNERS

The intersection of St Paul Street, Howard Street, and South Winooski Avenue is a defining intersection in Burlington's South End. It is a gateway to several neighborhoods, including the Five Sisters, the Hill Section, and the South End Arts and Business District, and it is an emerging neighborhood hotspot of its own. It is along a primary vehicle route between downtown Burlington and points south, and it is a major pedestrian crossing point for nearby destinations like Calahan Park, the Pine Street corridor, and Christ the King and Edmunds School Campuses, as well as the four businesses located at the intersection.

Above all, the intersection is hub of activity where pedestrians, vehicles, bicycles and transit meet and interact. Residential neighborhoods surround the commercial intersection very near to the burgeoning Pine Street corridor. The goal of this scoping study is to evaluate various ways to improve the safety for people walking, bicycling, driving and taking transit, to meet accessibility standards, and to foster the emerging neighborhood by supporting enhancements to pedestrian, bicyclist, and motorist travel.

The acronym "WHSP" will be used to describe the Winooski – Howard – St Paul intersection study area throughout this document.

The character of this intersection is defined by the roadway and the adjacent land use. The paved width consists of motor vehicle lanes, crosswalks, on-street parking spaces, and areas where buses stop

FIGURE 1-1: STUDY AREA WITHIN BURLINGTON.




FIGURE 1-2: CENSUS TRACTS WITHIN BURLINGTON.

WHSP Intersection Scoping Study Final Report Existing Conditions


for passengers. South Winooski Avenue is one-way southbound, with bike lanes in both directions. Off the pavement are businesses, houses, sidewalks, parking areas, driveways, a park, and bus stops. A traffic signal controls the movement of vehicles. The landscape generally slopes east to west.

1.1 | STUDY AREA DEMOGRAPHICS

The WHSP study area straddles two census tracts within Burlington:

1. Chittenden Tract 8, roughly bounded by Pine Street, St Paul Street, Ledge Street, South Prospect Street, and Flynn Ave; and
2. Chittenden Tract 9, roughly bounded by St Paul Street, Main Street, and Willard Street.

Several key demographics of the surrounding census tracts include:




American Community Survey Demographic and Housing Estimates, 2011-2015		Tract 8	Tract 9
Population		2,723	2,627
Non-White Population, Percentage		9.5%	6.9%
Under age of 15, Percentage		17.7%	7.1%
Over age of 65, Percent		9.4%	13.0%
Commute Share, Percentage	Drive Alone –	50.8%	Drive Alone – 40.6%
	Drive, Carpool –	8.0%	Drive, Carpool – 6.7%
	Transit –	8.3%	Transit – 1.8%
	Walk –	18.8%	Walk – 29.5%
	Other –	4.7%	Other – 8.2%
Percentage of Families whose income in the past 12 months is below the poverty level		17.4%	21.4%

1.2 | ADJACENT LAND USE

Immediately adjacent to the WHSP intersection are four commercial spaces straddling Howard on the west side of St Paul, with the remaining land use as residential. The four businesses include:

- The **Neighborhood Market** sells groceries, wine, and beer, and is open between 10 am and 9 pm.
- **Shy Guy Gelato** sells servings of gelato at their storefront Fridays through Sundays from 11 am to 9 pm, with no indoor seating.
- **Tomgirl Juice** sells juice, coffee and tea, and prepared foods. The shop has a seating area and is open Mondays through Saturdays during the day.
- **South End Style** is a hair and beauty salon.

There is head-in perpendicular parking outside of the Neighborhood Market with room for approximately five cars; two spaces reserved to the house immediately to the north of the market, leaving three for public use.



Potvin Park is a small park owned and managed by the City of Burlington, located between St. Paul Street and South Winooski Ave. The park is located on the slope between the streets and is defined by this difference in elevation. It contains a stepped seating area with a platform near the St Paul Street bus stop and is spotted with trees and shrubs. A stairway running through it connects the two streets. Much of the treated lumber defining the park is deteriorated; concrete sidewalks, metal railings and other park features are similarly worn and showing age.



1.3 | MOTOR VEHICLE MOBILITY


Each leg of the intersection has one motor vehicle lane in each direction except for S. Winooski Ave, which is one-way in the southbound direction (into the intersection) adjacent to a contraflow northbound bicycle lane. Thus, for vehicles, there are five approach routes and four exit routes. There are no dedicated turn lanes or turn signal phases. Hard right turns from South Winooski Avenue onto St. Paul Street are legal movements. Right turns on red lights are permitted.

St. Paul Street is a designated truck route; trucks are prohibited on S. Winooski Ave. South Winooski Avenue and St. Paul Street south of the intersections are proposed minor arterials and Class 1 Town Highways designated as Alternate US-7.

Surrounding the intersection, on-street parallel parking is located on the west side of South Winooski Ave, the north side of Howard Street (both east and west legs), and the west side of St. Paul Street (both north and south legs).



FIGURE 1-7: BUS STOP ON ST PAUL STREET



1.4 | TRANSIT MOBILITY

The Route 5 (Pine Street) Green Mountain Transit bus makes two stops at the Study intersection: on the eastbound side of Howard Street west of the intersection, and on the northbound side of St. Paul Street north of the intersection. School Tripper buses also stop on St. Paul Street; these buses help students and other passengers travel to school, and they are also open to the general public. There are no bus shelters or designated waiting areas for passengers waiting for the bus.

1.5 | PEDESTRIAN MOBILITY

All five legs of the intersection are lined by a sidewalk on both sides.

Close to the center of the intersection, red crosswalks provide a guided connection from corner to corner. Where St. Paul Street and South Winooski Avenue first meet at the tip of Potvin Park, a crosswalk connects the southwest tip of the park across St. Paul Street to the sidewalk in front of 457 St. Paul Street (the building containing the Neighborhood Market and Shy Guy Gelato). There is no crosswalk between the park and the opposite side of S. Winooski Ave, and the skew angle of the intersection leads to long crossing distances across St. Paul Street. The Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) guidelines encourage short perpendicular crossings, spaced at least 200 feet.

The intersection does not have pedestrian signals. Pedestrians must pay attention to which directions have a green light and be aware of turning vehicles to safely cross the street.

1.6 | BICYCLE MOBILITY

Bike lanes are striped and signed on South Winooski Ave in both directions; double yellow striping allows bicyclists to ride northbound on this street that is one-way southbound for motor vehicles. The other streets approaching the WHSP intersection do not have bicycle facilities. The northbound lane of St Paul Street has a striped shoulder, but not a marked bicycle lane.

Although identified as a Bike Route in various planning documents and the Burlington Bike Map, Howard Street does not have any designated bicycle infrastructure or amenities.

The steep grades, particularly along eastbound Howard Street and southbound St Paul Street, create challenges for many bicyclists to build momentum and traverse the expanse of pavement.



2.0 STUDY AREA MAPS

Zoning districts, historic districts, and natural resource boundaries have been reviewed in the vicinity of the Study intersection to understand the context of the Study intersection and features that will need to be considered when designing and comparing potential alternatives.

2.1 | ZONING

The Study intersection is at the convergence of two residential zoning districts: Medium Density Residential (northwest of the intersection) and Low Density Residential (surrounding the remainder of the intersection). To the west, along Pine Street, is an Enterprise - Light Manufacturing district. The Main Street boundary of downtown Burlington is approximately 0.6 miles north of the Study intersection along S. Winooski Avenue.

According to Walk Score (walkscore.com), the intersection has a Walk Score of 67 - “Somewhat Walkable”; this means that “some errands can be accomplished on foot.” Walk Score rates locations with a score of 1 (least walkable) to 100 (most walkable) by the destinations within walking distance.




FIGURE 2-1: BURLINGTON ZONING DISTRICTS



2.2 | NEARBY DESTINATIONS

The WHSP study intersection is within one mile of many different community destinations, giving them potential to be both walkable and bikeable.

To the north is downtown Burlington and the shared campus of Edmunds Elementary and Middle Schools. Smalley Park has a basketball court, baseball field, and natural playground.

To the west is the Pine Street corridor, home to art and music venues, shops, cafes, and breweries. Along Pine Street is Dealer.com, one of the largest employers in Burlington.

To the south is Christ the King School, Calahan Park, and Champlain Elementary School (not pictured). Calahan Park, approximately 16 acres in size, has baseball diamonds, soccer fields, tennis courts, a basketball court, an outdoor ice rink and sledding hill in the winter, and a community garden managed by Burlington Parks and Recreation.




FIGURE 2-2: NEARBY DESTINATIONS

2.3 | HISTORIC AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Located within the Champlain Lowlands, there are hundreds of precontact sites of significance within several miles. However, the precontact sensitivity of this specific project area is considered to be low based on the presence of slope, the absence of nearby waterways, and previous historic disturbance from road and sidewalk construction and residential development.

The Study intersection is near, but not within, a designated historic district. It lies closest to the South Union Street historic district, which includes over 100 houses built between 1835 and 1938 that represent a variety of architectural styles.

All structures immediately surrounding the intersection were built between 1880 and 1938. All are inventoried in the Vermont Historic Sites and Structures Survey conducted in 1970s. Historic archeological potential is low within the project area due to previous disturbance from road and sidewalk construction, as well as historic development of houselots. It is recommended that proposed alternative designs should limit disturbance to areas within the existing sidewalk footprint as much as possible.

Additional detail regarding the history and development of this intersection can be found in the Archaeological Resource and Historic Preservation Assessment.



FIGURE 2-3: BURLINGTON HISTORIC DISTRICTS

2.4 | NATURAL RESOURCES

The WHSP study intersection is not within any wetlands buffer zones, endangered/sensitive species' habitats, or Special Flood Hazard Areas (lands that are at high risk of flooding). Thus, future changes to the intersection will not impact or be impacted by these things. The nearest sensitive areas are located in and near the Barge Canal, including wetlands and a rare-to-Vermont plant species. A flood hazard zone runs along the shore of Lake Champlain and surrounds the Barge Canal.

Stormwater is currently directed into the Main Plant Combined Sewer System. "Green Streets" concepts to slow and reduce peak stormwater flow volumes are recommended.

2.5 | SITE SPECIFIC CONSTRAINTS

The WHSP study intersection is a gateway into downtown Burlington and a more pedestrianized environment. Proposed intersection improvements should reflect the higher volumes of non-motorized traffic and pedestrian activity. The intersection should be designed for slower speeds, which may include roundabouts, mini-roundabouts, or traffic signal pedestals (as opposed to mast arms), as appropriate.

The urban environment indicates that many utilities should be expected underground, including water, sewer, and natural gas.





FIGURE 2-4: NEARBY NATURAL RESOURCES



3.0 PAST STUDIES

In recent years, the WHSP intersection has been considered for improvement twice. These studies included a VTrans reconstruction project and a neighborhood study to explore accessibility challenges. Several recent and ongoing planning studies managed by the City also provide insight to the greater neighborhood and various elements of the intersection, including planBTV South End, planBTV Walk Bike, the Open Space Protection Plan, and the Winooski Avenue Corridor Study.

3.1 | 2009 VTRANS RECONSTRUCTION

As of 2009, Potvin Park did not extend as far south into the intersection as it currently does; it ended approximately 40 feet north of its current tip. At that time, the crosswalk across the north leg of St. Paul Street connected the park to the current crossing point in front of the Neighborhood Market, resulting in a significantly longer pedestrian crossing than the existing crosswalk. The southbound bicycle lane, installed in 2006, ended further north on S. Winooski Ave as a southbound right turn lane opened.

In 2009, VTrans initiated a construction project (STP 2722) along US Alternate Route 7, which includes S. Winooski Ave to the north of the Study intersection and St. Paul Street to the south of the Study intersection. Results of this project at the Study intersection included:

- Removal of the southbound right turn lane and extension of bicycle lanes on South Winooski Avenue to the stop bar;
- Extension of Potvin Park further south into the intersection;
- Revised striping to align with the extended park; and
- Reconstruction of sidewalk ramps.

The final construction did not include a crosswalk across S. Winooski Avenue, presumably due to no existing sidewalk landings and steep slopes creating a challenge for construction of an ADA-compliant landing area.



FIGURE 3-1: WHSP STUDY AREA INTERSECTION IN 2004.

3.2 | AARP LIVABLE COMMUNITIES GRANT STUDY

In 2012, a group of residents living near the Study intersection applied for and secured an AARP Livable Communities Grant to better understand accessibility challenges for all users at the intersection. AARP's Livable Communities "supports the efforts of neighborhoods, towns, cities and rural areas to become great places for people of all ages." Safe, walkable streets and access to community life and important services are key to the mission of this program.

The study considered a number of concerns from neighbors, and a safe crossing of S Winooski Ave emerged as a priority. A crosswalk at this location is challenging due to the steep grade of Potvin Park with respect to an ADA-compliant landing space and connection to the existing sidewalk network.

The City of Burlington Department of Public Works reviewed several crosswalk options, one of which was developed into a proposed construction improvement, shown below. The proposed plan would involve a diagonal crossing of South Winooski Avenue, from the east side of Potvin Park southeast across South Winooski Avenue. Further development of the crosswalk installation was postponed for a more comprehensive study of the intersection.




FIGURE 3-2: S. WINOOSKI AVE CROSSWALK PLANS

3.3 | PLAN BTV SOUTH END

planBTV South End is a master plan for the southwestern quarter of Burlington. The WHSP Study Intersection is located within the planBTV South End “Broader Study Area”. The latest version is a draft plan from June 2015.

planBTV South End focuses on the South End Enterprise Zone - a zoning district encompassing the arts and business district along Pine Street, but many of its goals apply to the South End as a whole. Overarching goals in planBTV relevant to the Study intersection relate to **mobility** (also a focus of Walk Bike planBTV), **parks**, and **stormwater management**.

MOBILITY

Overarching goal: Increase walkability and bikeability and improve transit service in the South End. Goals and strategies relevant to the Study intersection include:

Goal: Design for Lower Speeds and Many Modes

- Reinforce the target speed of 25 mph, and incorporate traffic calming principles into every project.
- Consider expanded use of roundabouts and mini roundabouts.

Goal: Serve the full range of cyclists

- Continue to expand and enhance bike infrastructure within the South End

Goal: Improve transit service.

- Provide amenity-rich / artful bus stop areas.



PARKS AND POCKET PARKS

Overarching goal: Increase green spaces and accessibility to them in the South End. Goals and strategies relevant to the Study intersection include:

Goal: Create new parks within the South End; make existing parks more accessible.

- Incorporate pocket parks and plazas into new development in the Lakeside Ave area. (The Study intersection is not in the Lakeside Ave area, but it presents a potential opportunity for a pocket park or plaza.)

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

Overarching goal: Reduce the City's impact on Lake Champlain through stormwater management. Strategies relevant to the Study intersection include:

- Minimize paved areas;
- Slow the flow of stormwater by dispersing runoff; and
- Treat the water before it heads to the lake through infrastructure such as bioretention cells and bioswales.

REFERENCE TO WHSP INTERSECTION

The planBTV South End study specifically references the Winooski / Howard / St Paul intersection in the Connectivity and Mobility element of the study:

Address key intersections to improve safety and alleviate traffic congestion.

Consider appropriate locations for new traffic signals, roundabouts or mini-roundabouts to address traffic congestion, and reinforce lower, safer speeds at intersections.

Explore potential for a redesigned intersection at Howard/St.

Paul/Winooski, where improvements could help foster an emerging neighborhood activity center.



Watersheds by receiving water




FIGURE 3-4: STORMWATER WATERSHED MAP FROM THE PLANBTV SOUTH END STUDY

3.4 | PLAN BTV WALK BIKE

Plan BTV Walk Bike, approved in April 2017, summarizes existing conditions of walking and bicycling conditions in Burlington, and it offers design recommendations for intersections, street segments, and amenities (such as bike parking). It has two overarching goals:

1. Create safer streets for everyone.
2. Make walking and biking a viable (and enjoyable) way to get around town.

The plan identifies the S. Winooski-Howard-St. Paul intersection as **one of 20 priority intersections**. Specific recommendations to consider for this intersection within the next 2 to 5 years include a mini-roundabout or signal phasing changes, high visibility crosswalks, and curb extensions with creative materials.

The plan also recommends **nine city-wide actions** as part of its Action Plan. The following five actions are particularly relevant to the S. Winooski-Howard-St. Paul intersection:

1. Engineer and design city streets to self-enforce appropriate target speeds.
2. Improve safety at all 20 priority intersections. (The S. Winooski-Howard-St. Paul intersection is one of the 20 priority intersections.)
3. Provide a connected network of sidewalks and safe intersections.
4. Create a dense, interconnected bicycle network that serves the needs of people of all ages and abilities.
5. Leverage walk/bike projects to add green infrastructure to Burlington's streets.





FIGURE 3-5: WALK BIKE SURVEY RESULTS; 540 PARTICIPANTS

3.5 | OPEN SPACE PROTECTION PLAN

The 2014 Open Space Protection Plan (OSPP) is an update of the first OSPP completed in 2000. The OSPP includes an inventory of open spaces within the City, summarizes comments from the public, and recommends actions. The overarching goals of the OSPP are to:

1. Protect and preserve natural areas and open spaces of local, regional, and statewide significance for the benefit of future generations.
2. Maintain and improve the integrity of natural and recreational systems within the City.
3. Ensure long-term stewardship and appropriate public access to natural areas and open space, including improved opportunities for pedestrian access and interaction throughout the City.

The OSPP focuses on four types of open space and sets a goal for each of them: natural areas, urban agriculture, parklands, and green infrastructure/stormwater management. The latter two open spaces types are particularly relevant to the S. Winooski-Howard-St. Paul intersection due to the presence of Potvin Park at the intersection, the proximity to other open spaces, and the potential for significant stormwater runoff mitigation.

**FIGURE 3-6: COMMUNITY GARDEN AT
CALAHAN PARK, A HALF-MILE WALK OR BIKE
RIDE FROM THE WHSP INTERSECTION**



Photo: Vermont Community Garden Network

3.6 | WINOOSKI AVENUE CIRCULATION STUDY

The Winooski Avenue Circulation Study, completed in December 2016, evaluated five alternative traffic patterns along Winooski Avenue between Howard Street and N. Union Street in Burlington. All alternatives reviewed would fit within the existing paved width of the roadway. The purpose of the study was to find a connection between the Old North End and the South End that improves safety and the flow of traffic for both bicycles and vehicles. Currently, both Winooski Ave and S. Union Street have segments of one-way vehicle traffic. When present, bicycle facilities are also limited to one direction except along S. Winooski Ave between Howard Street and Maple Street.

The following alternatives were modeled in a microsimulation model:

1. Complete Street on Winooski Avenue; Winooski Avenue as primary bicycle corridor
2. Two-Way Flow on North Winooski Avenue
3. Two-Way Flow on all of Winooski Avenue; Union Street as primary bicycle corridor
4. One-Way Pair: Counter-Clockwise Flow
5. One-Way Pair: Clockwise Flow

The Complete Street on Winooski Ave was found to have the least amount of impact on traffic compared to the other four alternatives. This alternative would include bike lanes on both sides of Winooski Avenue from Union Street (to the north) to the S. Winooski-Howard-St. Paul intersection. The existing vehicular traffic directions would remain the same, but a conversion from four lanes to three lanes would be required between Pearl Street and Main Street. Some on-street parking would need to be removed.



4.0 ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS AND TRAFFIC VOLUMES

The average annual daily traffic (AADT) of the St Paul Street and South Winooski Avenue approach legs of the Study intersection are shown to the right.

Roadway classifications for each approach leg include:

St Paul Street South – FAU A007 (Alt US Route 7) – Class 1 Town Highway (TH-4) – Functional Class: Urban Principal Arterial (Proposed Change to Minor Arterial)

St Paul Street North – FAU S5046 – Class 2 Town Highway (TH-15) – Functional Class: Urban Collector (Proposed Change to Minor Arterial)

South Winooski Avenue – FAU A007 (Alt US Route 7) Class 1 Town Highway (TH-4) – Functional Class: Urban Principal Arterial (Proposed Change to Minor Arterial)

Howard Street – not on the Federal Aid system – Functional Class: Urban Local

The speed limit for all roads is 25 mph.

ROADWAY RIGHT OF WAY

Given the urban and developed context of the intersection, the roadway right of way is assumed to extend 1-foot beyond the existing sidewalk. Any proposed impacts beyond the existing sidewalks would likely constitute impacts to private property.

UTILITY INFRASTRUCTURE

A number of utilities are located within and directly adjacent to the existing roadway and sidewalk network, including aerial electric and communications lines, with lighting on the utility poles, underground gas, sewer, water, and stormwater pipes and conduits, including valves and manholes; utilities are illustrated in the existing conditions basemap in Attachment 4. While the entire project area is within an urban soil background area, no underground storage tanks, well heads, or other environmentally hazardous or sensitive sites have been identified nearby.



FIGURE 4-1: AVERAGE ANNUAL DAILY TRAFFIC ALONG THE PRIMARY APPROACH LEGS TO THE WHSP INTERSECTION

PAST COUNTS

VTrans conducted a twelve-hour count from 6 AM to 6 PM on August 4, 2016 (PM hours) and August 5, 2016 (AM hours). The raw turning movement traffic volumes from this count are presented in Figure 4-2.

Notably in this count, only 18 pedestrians were tallied throughout the day. Much higher pedestrian volumes should be expected. Bicycles were not differentiated from vehicles, if they were counted at all. Beyond confirming traffic volumes and heavy vehicle percentages, the count appears to offer minimal value to a Bicycle and Pedestrian study.




FIGURE 4-2: AM (LEFT) AND PM (RIGHT) PEAK HOUR VOLUMES FROM 2016 VTRANS TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT.

SUPPLEMENTAL 2016 COUNT

RSG performed a 12-hour turning movement count on October 26, 2016 (6AM to 6PM) at the Study intersection using digital video equipment. The movements of motorized vehicles, bicycles, and pedestrians were counted. The weather was noted to be overcast and cool, with a maximum temperature of 39-degrees. Light snowfall was noted.

MOTORIZED VEHICLES

The observed morning and evening peak hours of motorized traffic were determined to be 7:45-8:45 am and 4:45-5:45 pm, respectively.

Following VTrans traffic study guidelines, observed peak hour traffic volumes were adjusted to represent the design hour volume (DHV)¹. Design hour adjustment factors are based on VTrans automatic traffic recorder (ATR) **D157**, located on St. Paul Street approximately 750 feet north of the Study intersection. The calculations to adjust observed traffic volumes to the DHV are as follows:

1. The most recently observed AADT at ATR D157 was 9,472 vehicles in 2002. This AADT was adjusted to 2016 based on observed growth at ATR D163, located 0.4 miles north of the Study intersection. ATR D163 had counts in 2005 and 2016. An assumption was made that volumes in 2005 were similar to volumes in 2002. ATR D163 saw no growth between 2005 and 2016, so a growth factor of 1.00 was applied to ATR D157. Thus, ATR D157 is assumed to have a **2016 volume of 9,472.**
2. The k factor of VT-15 is 0.1061 (the VTrans k factor for urban areas). Plugging this k factor and the 2016 AADT into the equation $DHV = AADT * k$ leads to a **DHV of $9,472 * 0.1061 = 1,000$ vehicles.**
3. Because ATR D157 is north of the Study intersection along St. Paul Street, vehicles in the 2016 RSG traffic count that entered the intersection from the north along St. Paul Street or exited the intersection heading north were added together. The total number of **vehicles from the peak hour of this count that likely passed ATR D121 are 985 vehicles.**
4. The adjustment factor between 985 and 1,000 is 1.02. Therefore, the observed traffic volumes at the Study intersection in the morning and evening peak hours were **increased by 2%** to adjust to the DHV.

The raw and adjusted volumes are shown in the following figures.

¹ The DHV is the 30th highest hour of traffic for the year and is used as the design standard in Vermont.



WHSP Intersection Scoping Study Final Report
Existing Conditions




FIGURE 4-4: RAW AND ADJUSTED PEAK HOUR VOLUMES FROM 2016 TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT




FIGURE 4-5: HOURLY MOTOR VEHICLE VOLUMES BY APPROACH DIRECTION

PEDESTRIANS

Pedestrian movements were recorded in the same videos as the traffic movements. Pedestrian crossings occurred at the intersection in several peaks over the course of the day rather than experiencing distinct peak times. During the AM and PM vehicle peak hours, there were 12 and 26 pedestrian crossings at the Study intersection, respectively. In the entire 12-hour period, there were 263 pedestrians. The day of the count was in the mid-thirties and there were light snow flurries in the morning. Schools were in session.

Total pedestrians observed: 263

(Warmer months would likely record a greater number of pedestrians)

Pedestrian Crossings by Intersection Leg




FIGURE 4-6: PEDESTRIAN CROSSINGS BY INTERSECTION LEG




FIGURE 4-7: HOURLY PEDESTRIAN CROSSINGS

BICYCLES

Bicycle movements were recorded in the same videos as the traffic movements. 61 people on bicycles passed through the Study intersection during the 12-hour count. This number does not include bicyclists riding on the sidewalk. No bicyclists were observed on the north leg of St. Paul Street, except for those using the sidewalk.

Bicyclists riding in the street were all adults. Several elementary school-age kids were on bicycles, but did not ride through the intersection, instead using sidewalks and crosswalks.

Total bicyclists observed: 61

(Warmer months would likely record a greater number of cyclists)

Bicyclist Volume by Approach Direction




FIGURE 4-8: BICYCLIST VOLUME BY APPROACH DIRECTION

Hourly Bicycle Volumes




FIGURE 4-9: HOURLY BICYCLE VOLUMES

5.0 CRASH ANALYSIS

Crashes at the Study intersection were compiled using the VTrans Public Crash Data Query Tool and by contacting VTrans directly. Crashes were reviewed in the five-year period between October 15, 2011 and October 15, 2016. During this time, there were 33 crashes, two of which resulted in injury with the remaining crashes causing property damage only. One crash involved a bicycle with no injury resulting. There have been no reported crashes involving pedestrians.

Rear end crashes and sideswipes were markedly the most common type of crash.

Most crashes occurred during daylight hours, between 6:00 AM and 6:00 PM; this is unsurprising given that most traffic occurs during this time period.




FIGURE 5-2: CRASHES BY TIME OF DAY




FIGURE 5-1: CRASHES BY TYPE OF CRASH

6.0 PUBLIC MEETING – LOCAL CONCERNS

A public meeting was held on Saturday, November 12, 2016. This meeting was held to gather local residents and experience the intersection as a group. The City's Public Works Staff, RSG consultant team, and 25 residents walked to all five corners, discussed their concerns from their perspective as pedestrians, bicyclists, and motorists, and proposed solutions to improve travel. Notes and the results from this meeting are available as an attachment to this report.



FIGURE 6-1: LOCAL CONCERNS MEETING

ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

7.0 PURPOSE AND NEED

The following Purpose and Need statement was developed based on a preliminary review of the study area, concerns articulated at the neighborhood meeting held on November 12, 2016, and comments from the public sent to the project email address.

PURPOSE

Make the intersection easy and safe to cross for all modes of transportation, including pedestrians, bicycles, transit, and vehicles, while minimizing impacts to vehicle capacity, encouraging an inviting and welcoming environment, and supporting the neighborhood center.

NEED

The need for this project is documented by the following issues:

- a. Expansive pavement makes crossing the intersection unsafe for pedestrians, bicyclists, and vehicles.
 - i. Pedestrians must walk over 50 feet (up to 80 feet) to cross several legs.
 - ii. Bicyclists must travel from stop through a wide and long distance with no markings. Bicyclists have particular difficulty heading eastbound on Howard Street, when they must stop on a steep uphill grade.
 - iii. Vehicles entering during yellow phase may not be able to cross the intersection before the light turns red.
- b. There are no pedestrian signals or button actuation; it is not obvious to pedestrians when to safely cross, and motorists do not have guidance to yield to pedestrians.
- c. There is no crosswalk across S. Winooski Ave.
- d. There have been 33 vehicle crashes in the past five years.
- e. Transit facilities are underdeveloped despite serving many people, including students taking the bus to school. There are no bus shelters, dedicated waiting areas, or dedicated bus pull-off zones, and snow can pile up in winter.
- f. There is poor visibility to traffic signals for motorists.
 - i. Signals are difficult to see in some lighting situations
 - ii. Signals do not have back plates.
 - iii. The signals are located in the center of the intersection.
- g. Reports from neighbors that vehicles run red lights. This issue was confirmed by watching a video recording of the intersection.
- h. Reports from a neighbor that motorists do not observe the “Do Not Enter” sign for S. Winooski Ave and attempt to drive north on the street.
- i. Right-turn on red rules of the intersection are unclear. Right turns on red from Howard Street westbound and S. Winooski southbound are particularly challenging.
- j. Potvin Park is underutilized as a public space; pedestrians do not have space to interact, limiting the possibility of fostering a sense of community.
- k. The bicycle lanes on S. Winooski Ave stop at the intersection. Bicyclists do not have dedicated facilities to continue to or from the southern leg of St. Paul Street.

OSPP: Incorporate storm water runoff mitigation into open space

The following list of preliminary alternatives is intended to build upon the proposed concepts of past studies and address the needs articulated in the Purpose and Need Statement.

IMMEDIATE TERM

- Quick Build or Demonstration project with paint and flexible posts
- Neighborhood art in remaining asphalt area
- Bike infrastructure to start from flat area in front of Howard Street eastbound crosswalk

SHORT TERM

- d. Curb extensions
- e. Accessible crossing of S. Winooski Ave
- f. New signal system – existing geometry

LONG TERM

- g. Roundabout – existing geometry
- h. Realigned roadway – traffic signal
- i. Realigned roadway – roundabout
(traditional / mini / multiple / oblong)



GEOMETRY

Minimum Proposed Lane and Shoulder Widths:

Bike lanes: 5 feet


- Assume 25 MPH
- Assume 6% downgrade
- Refer to AASHTO Green Book Exhibit 3-2

- Refer to VTrans Standard Detail A-76


- Refer to AASHTO Green Book minimum vertical curve length exhibits 3-71 and
- Acceptable for algebraic grade differences under 4% (crest) or 3.5% (sag)

St Paul Street: WB-67

would be encountered on St Paul Street. The vehicle is expected to perform only through movements and remain on St Paul Street (no turns to or from Howard Street or South Winooski Avenue)




The SU-30 single unit truck represents a typical local delivery truck. The SU-30 should be able to turn into and out of each street, but may need to encroach into opposing lanes.




Ladder Fire Truck

The ladder truck is a custom vehicle approximating the Burlington Fire Department's largest fire fighting vehicle.



The CITY BUS represents a typical intracity transit bus.



LEVEL OF SERVICE

This study aims to provide a **balanced analysis** of intersection alternatives based on the needs of all modes of transportation, with **an emphasis on the needs and desires of pedestrians and bicyclists**. Different metrics are used for motorized and non-motorized forms of transportation, since the goals for each group are often at odds with each other (see Figure 9-1 for common perspectives).

To measure how well each alternative meets the goals of **pedestrians and bicyclists**, they have been **evaluated based on the project-specific criteria shown in Table 10.1**. These criteria are based on the Purpose and Need Statement determined early in the project and described in Section 1.0, and are largely focused on safety, comfort, and accessibility for pedestrians and bicyclists.

To measure how well each alternative meets the goals of **drivers of motorized vehicles**, one of the primarily metrics used is **level of service (LOS)**. The LOS of an intersection is a rating of the traffic operations (as perceived by motorists) during the peak hour of an average day. It runs on a scale from A to F. (A full definition and analysis can be found in Section 6.1.)

In urban areas such as the project location, higher LOS's are not necessarily desirable. VTrans generally aims for an LOS of "C" on all its roads, but may accept a reduced LOS under certain circumstances, especially within densely settled areas, "as long as the safety and mobility of the traveling public is improved."² The City of Burlington targets a LOS of "D".

² VTrans Highway Design "Level of Service Policy (2007)
<http://vtrans.vermont.gov/sites/aot/files/highway/documents/publications/LevelOfServicePolicy2007.pdf>

FIGURE 9-1 MULTI-MODAL GOALS AND PERSPECTIVES

PEDESTRIANS

- 1 People crave activity and variety at street level. Streets with active storefronts, foot traffic design, and human scale design contribute toward an active and economically vibrant community. While activity is of paramount importance to the pedestrian realm, public safety, sidewalk width adequately spaced and apportioned, protection from rain, and shade from the sun together make the difference between a successful street and a barren one.

VEHICLES

- 3 Motorists want to get to their destination as quickly and safely as possible with limited friction, interruption, or delay. Vehicles typically benefit from limited access, higher speed roads with limited chance of conflict or surprise.

Due to their high speeds and overall mass, drivers feel safest when buffered from other moving vehicles, bicyclists, buses, trucks, and crossing pedestrians. Especially when making decisions at high speeds, motorists need adequate lighting and signage, as well as adequate parking provisions at their destinations.

FREIGHT

- 5 Freight operators want to move goods from their origin to their destination as easily, quickly, and conveniently as possible. Trucks benefit from high, but not unsafe speeds, curb access or docks for easy loading and unloading, and overall safety throughout the traffic system.

BICYCLISTS

- 2 Bicycle facilities should be direct, safe, intuitive, and cohesive. Bicyclists desire a high degree of connectivity and a system that functions well for cyclists of all skill levels, with minimal detour or delay.

Bicyclists benefit from feeling safe and protected from moving traffic. Bikeways that create an effective division from traffic and are well coordinated with the **signal timing and intersection design** of the traffic network form the basis of a accessible bicycle network. See [Cycle Tracks](#)

TRANSIT

- 4 Transit service may be measured by its speed, convenience, reliability, and frequency of service. Trains and buses should permit easy loading and unloading, and be comfortable and not overcrowded. The overall level of access and scope of a transit network should be aligned to actual demand, meeting service needs without sacrificing service quality.

EMERGENCY VEHICLES

Emergency responders are responsible for attending to crimes, crashes, fires, and other dire scenarios as quickly as possible. They benefit from safety and predictability along their routes, with minimal conflicts with vehicles, bicyclists, or pedestrians, and direct curb access at their destinations.

10.0 EVALUATION CRITERIA

To objectively evaluate each alternative, criteria have been developed based on the goals of the study and the specific issues identified in the purpose and need. Table 10.1 illustrates how each issue affects one or more of the three goals and notes the City studies that reference these needs. Later in this report, all five alternatives will be qualitatively evaluated based on these criteria - whether they will improve, worsen, or have no effect on each issue.

In addition to the qualitative criteria summarized below, more quantifiable impacts to resources will also be documented. These resources include **parking options, traffic operations, stormwater management, utility impacts, Right-of-Way impacts, historic / archaeological, and estimated costs** and are key elements of this study.

TABLE 10.1 QUALITATIVE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Issues to Address	Study Goals		
	Improve safety for people walking, bicycling, driving, and taking transit	Meet (and exceed) accessibility standards	Foster the emerging neighborhood
1 Crossing length for all modes	x	x	
2 Pedestrian crossing guidance	x	x	
3 Pedestrian crossing of S. Winooski Ave	x	x	
4 Crash rate	x		
5 Comfortable transit facilities		x	
6 Visibility of traffic signals to motorists	x	x	
7 Vehicle speeds	x		
8 Running of red lights	x		
9 Wrong-way driving on S. Winooski Ave	x		
10 Trucks on S. Winooski Ave	x		
11 Right turns on red	x		
12 Use of Potvin Park			x
13 Public gathering space			x
14 Bicycle infrastructure	x	x	
15 Stormwater management			
16 Support relationship between residents and businesses			x

11.0 ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION

The following pages summarize the five alternatives for consideration. The alternatives include one immediately implementable project, a longer-term reconstruction of the existing signal system, and large-scale re-imaginings of the intersection.

The five alternatives are:

1. Quick Build Project - Existing Geometry
2. New Signal System - Existing Geometry
3. Dual Signal System - Realigned Roadway
4. Dual Roundabout - Realigned Roadway
5. Modern Roundabout - Existing Geometry

On top of the five action alternatives outlined above, this study also considers a “do-nothing” alternative (Alternative 0) in which the existing roadway geometry remains the same. At the end of this section, three additional alternatives that were considered but not advanced are briefly discussed.

For each alternative, the following information is given:

TIMEFRAME: Immediate (within one year), Short Term (within five years), and Long Term (five to ten years)

COST ESTIMATE: A planning level cost estimate range is given for each alternative, including design, construction, and inspection. The cost estimate does not include costs associated with Right of Way acquisition.

RIGHT OF WAY (ROW) IMPACT: The square footage and number of impacted private parcels is quantified; impacts to Potvin Park is not included.

UTILITY IMPACTS: The number of impacted utility poles is quantified. While some underground utility infrastructure is expected to be modified by each alternative, no alternative proposes to relocate existing underground infrastructure.

HISTORIC / ARCHAEOLOGIC IMPACTS: The square footage of disturbed area outside the existing sidewalk limits and the number of directly impacted structures is quantified.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: A summary of the key aspects and benefits of an alternative

FEATURES: A bulleted list of the construction/installation items

CONSIDERATIONS: Potential challenges and impacts of the alternative

LONGEST CROSSWALK LENGTH: The longest crosswalk length and the roadway in which it crosses is identified

VEHICLE TRACKING: A discussion of how large vehicles, including firetrucks, transit buses, and freight vehicles travel through the intersection, including identification of restricting features

GOALS MET: This section qualitatively identifies how each alternative meets the goals of this study. Each project has been given a rating of **improvement (+)**, **no change (0)**, or **worse (-)** for each of the 16 issues to address in the three categories shown

WHSP Intersection Scoping Study Final Report Alternatives Analysis

in Table 10.1. The number of “+” are subtracted by the number of “-”, for each category, with a resulting score.

These ratings are shown collectively in Figure 13-1.

The “goals met” is intended as a metric for quickly comparing alternatives to the existing conditions. It does not account for how each criterion may have a higher “weight” than others, and therefore cannot be used as a prioritization tool at this point.

OPPORTUNITIES FOR ENHANCEMENT: In some cases, alternatives do not necessarily address a given issue unless an extra step is taken. This section suggests “add-on” opportunities to enhance a given alternative. Examples include bus stop improvements and turning a landscape or hardscape into a more pervious surface to retain more stormwater.

ALTERNATIVE 0: No Build

TIMEFRAME: Immediate

COST ESTIMATE: \$0

RIGHT OF WAY (ROW) IMPACT: 0 SF / 0 Properties

UTILITY IMPACTS: 0 Poles

HISTORIC / ARCHAEOLOGIC IMPACTS: 0 SF / 0 Structures

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: This alternative would maintain the features and operations of the existing intersection geometry. No changes to curb lines, sidewalks, crosswalks, transit features, signal placement or timing, or any other element is proposed.

FEATURES:

- Maintenance of existing conditions

CONSIDERATIONS:

- Does not address any goals
- Least expensive alternative
- No change in maintenance requirements

LONGEST CROSSWALK LENGTH: 78-feet (a)

VEHICLE TRACKING: This do-nothing alternative proposes no changes to the curb lines and offers the greatest vehicle maneuvering flexibility.


GOALS MET:

- Safety: 0 of 10
- Accessibility: 0 of 6
- Neighborhood: 0 of 3

OPPORTUNITIES FOR ENHANCEMENT:

- No Build Alternative proposes no changes to the existing features

FIGURE 11-1: NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE



ALTERNATIVE 1: Quick Build Alternative Project

TIMEFRAME: Immediate

COST ESTIMATE: \$5,000 – \$25,000

RIGHT OF WAY (ROW) IMPACT: 0 SF / 0 Properties

UTILITY IMPACTS: 0 Poles

HISTORIC / ARCHAEOLOGIC IMPACTS: 0 SF / 0 Structures

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: This alternative would be a temporary installation of curb extensions and lane markings at various locations around the intersection. Temporary curb extensions may be installed long-term with flexible post bollards, or shorter term with cones, haybales and planters. Between the existing curb and temporary curb extensions is an opportunity for a gathering space, benches and tables, street art, or other community opportunity, illustrated in blue. Green bike lane markings, and additional bike markings like bike boxes or bike crossing markings, may be installed with temporary paint to highlight the bicycle infrastructure.

The alternative would provide the neighborhood a low-cost, first-hand understanding of how curb extensions might change vehicle behavior and increase public gathering space. Chairs and tables could be set out in the new space to support businesses and foster a sense of community. People who already ride bicycles through this intersection and those who are interested could try out the enhanced bicycle facilities.

FEATURES:

- Flexible post bollard curb extensions (a)

- Chairs and tables, gathering space, and/or street art where the curb has been extended (b)
- Extend NB bike lanes to Howard St with green paint (c)
- No turn on red signs, stop here on red sign (d)

FIGURE 11-2: QUICK BUILD ALTERNATIVE



CONSIDERATIONS:

- A person walking from the bulb-outs may have reduced visibility to the traffic signals. The northwest corner has the greatest visibility to the traffic signals and the highest potential for utilization of the reclaimed space due to the adjacent commercial development. Curb extensions at the other three corners may make it more difficult for pedestrians to see the traffic signals and know when to walk.
- Demonstration project would require regular inspection and replacement of bollards.

LONGEST CROSSWALK LENGTH: 43 feet

VEHICLE TRACKING: The single-unit truck design vehicle is able to maneuver around the corner, with encroachment into the opposite lane. In Figure 11-3, the design vehicle encroaches only on the minor leg approach to the intersection in the one-day demonstration project layout. The Quick-Build project has been modified to reduce this encroachment.

GOALS MET:

- Safety: 4 of 10
- Accessibility: 2 of 6
- Neighborhood: 2 of 3

ADDITIONAL CRITERIA:

- Net change in permeable area: No change
- Parking impacts: No change

OPPORTUNITIES FOR ENHANCEMENT:

- A one-day demonstration project of similar concept occurred on July 26, 2017. This weekend installation is detailed in Section 15.
- A neighborhood art project may be planned in conjunction with this quick build project.
- The extension of the South Winooski / St Paul curb radius is intended to be refined to inform the placement of permanent curb, sidewalk, and a crosswalk across South Winooski Avenue.

FIGURE 11-3: SOUTHBOUND SU-30 VEHICLE TURNING RIGHT ONTO WESTBOUND HOWARD STREET



ALTERNATIVE 2: New Signal System, Existing Geometry

TIMEFRAME: Short Term

COST ESTIMATE: \$1.0 – 1.5 million

RIGHT OF WAY (ROW) IMPACT: 0 SF / 0 Properties

UTILITY IMPACTS: 0 Poles

HISTORIC / ARCHAEOLOGIC IMPACTS: 0 SF / 0 Structures

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: This alternative is a permanent, enhanced version of Alternative 1; it would include an upgraded signal system with pedestrian crossing buttons, curb extensions at all four corners and of Potvin Park, an accessible crossing of Winooski Ave, bicycle crossing markings, and a bike box. The curb extensions would both slow traffic and provide more pedestrian space; a new plaza in front of 457 St Paul Street may be constructed with permeable pavers for stormwater management.

FEATURES:

- New signal system:
 - Mast arm for northbound and southbound traffic (a) (St. Paul Street and S. Winooski Ave)
 - Signal pedestals (b) for eastbound and westbound traffic (Howard Street) and all pedestrian crossings (10 total)
 - Pedestrian crossing buttons with countdown feature
 - Bicycle detection
 - Right turn on red rules part of signal system
- Curb extensions at four corners and of Potvin Park (c)

FIGURE 11-4: NEW SIGNAL SYSTEM, EXISTING GEOMETRY



- Reconstructed sidewalks and ramps
- Accessible marked crossing of S. Winooski Ave **(d)**
- Bike box, crossing markings, and bike lanes at the intersection **(e)**
- Plaza **(f)** in front of 457 St. Paul Street (Neighborhood Market, Shy Guy Gelato)

CONSIDERATIONS:

- The driveway of the house at the northeast corner; vehicles must enter from S. Winooski Ave and exit to the south (St. Paul Street would no longer be accessible to this driveway)
- Burlington DPW has received reports of shallow ledge in area making signal system potentially expensive
- Plowing is potentially more complicated with tighter curb radii and bulb-outs
- The additional striping would require more regular maintenance
- Drainage enhancements (bioswales, infiltration areas) would require more regular maintenance
- New green spaces and plaza may require city resources to mow and maintain

LONGEST CROSSWALK LENGTH: 43 feet

VEHICLE TRACKING: The curb layouts of Alternative 2 are the same as the quick build project temporary “curbs”, with the same operating characteristics of turning vehicles. Figure 11-5 illustrates the CITY BUS design vehicle navigating the bus route through the project area.

GOALS MET:

- Safety: 7 of 10
- Accessibility: 5 of 6
- Neighborhood: 2 of 3

ADDITIONAL CRITERIA:

- Net change in permeable area: + 490 SF
- Parking impacts: No change

OPPORTUNITIES FOR ENHANCEMENT:

- Improved transit shelter(s)
- Potential phased construction allowing crosswalk across South Winooski Ave. prior to full build out.
- Potential stormwater best management practices (BMP) at plaza: permeable pavers or infiltration area

FIGURE 11-5: WESTBOUND HOWARD STREET CITY BUS TURNS LEFT ONTO NORTHBOUND ST PAUL STREET



ALTERNATIVE 3: New Signal System, Realigned Roadway

TIMEFRAME: Long Term

COST ESTIMATE: \$1.7 – 2.2 million

RIGHT OF WAY (ROW) IMPACT: 0 SF / 0 Properties

UTILITY IMPACTS: 1 Pole

HISTORIC / ARCHAEOLOGIC IMPACTS: 0 SF / 0 Structures

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: This alternative would greatly reduce the amount of pavement - and therefore crossing distances for all modes - by splitting up the five-way intersection into two signalized intersections. South Winooski Ave would curve west to “T” at St. Paul Street within current limits of Potvin Park. New green space would be gained in a triangular space between the two intersections, but this space would be traversed by driveways, limiting its use as a public space. Green bike lanes and crossing markings would guide bicyclists traveling south from S. Winooski Ave to the four-way intersection, while a northbound bike lane would run adjacent to the eastern sidewalk, distanced from traffic.

FEATURES:

- Existing five-leg intersection split into two intersections:
 - St. Paul Street and S. Winooski Ave
 - St. Paul Street and Howard Street
 - 170 feet between intersections, center to center
- New signal system:
 - Signal pedestals at both intersections (14 total)
 - Pedestrian crossing buttons with countdown feature
 - Bicycle detection

FIGURE 11-6: NEW SIGNAL SYSTEM, REALIGNED ROADWAY



- New green space between S. Winooski Ave and the four-way intersection **(a)**, intersected by driveways
- Curb extensions **(b)**
- Reconstructed sidewalks and ramps
- Accessible marked crossing of S. Winooski Ave **(c)**
- Southbound bike lane and crossing markings between the two intersections **(d)** to guide bicyclists between S. Winooski Ave and Howard Street
- Northbound bike lane separated from the road to replace a portion of the contraflow lane **(e)**
- Plaza area in front of 457 St. Paul Street (Neighborhood Market, Shy Guy Gelato) **(f)**

CONSIDERATIONS:

- The new green space would be intersected by three driveways, likely making it an underutilized public space.
- Potvin Park greatly impacted **(c)**
- Potential difficulty of vehicles entering and exiting driveways during peak hours
- The curve for the realigned South Winooski Avenue approach to St Paul Street is less than design criteria minimum (designed at 100-feet, min. is 180-ft); maximum grade is 4%; may require advanced warning sign for the signal
- Intersection spacing is short; signals need to be coordinated and carefully timed

- Potential conflicts between vehicles turning right and bicyclists turning left on the southbound Winooski Ave approach
- Plowing is potentially more complicated with tighter curb radii and bulb-outs
- The additional striping would require more regular maintenance
- Drainage enhancements (bioswales, infiltration areas) would require more regular maintenance
- Large new green spaces may require city resources to mow and maintain

LONGEST CROSSWALK LENGTH: 43 feet

VEHICLE TRACKING: Many of the curb lines at the St. Paul Street / Howard Street intersection are similar to Alternatives 1 and 2; the realigned S. Winooski Avenue does impact fire vehicle maneuvering. Figure 11-7 illustrates this vehicle path. The firetruck must encroach onto the opposing lane on St Paul and Howard Streets.

GOALS MET:

- Safety: 9 of 10
- Accessibility: 5 of 6
- Neighborhood: 2 of 3

ADDITIONAL CRITERIA:

- Net change in permeable area: + 1,530 SF
- Parking impacts:

- Off-street parking at 457 St Paul Street shifted to the south (no change to quantity)
- Loss of approximately 7 on-street parking spaces

OPPORTUNITIES FOR ENHANCEMENT:

- Improved transit shelter(s)
- Stormwater retention: permeable plaza, enhance green space
- Bike signal for southbound bicyclists on Winooski Ave

**FIGURE 11-7: SOUTHBOUND S. WINOOSKI AVE. BURLINGTON
LADDER TRUCK MANEUVERING TO WESTBOUND HOWARD ST.**



ALTERNATIVE 4: Dual Roundabout, Realigned Roadway

TIMEFRAME: Long Term

COST ESTIMATE: \$1.9 – 2.4 million

RIGHT OF WAY (ROW) IMPACT: 7 SF / 1 Property

UTILITY IMPACTS: 1 Pole

HISTORIC / ARCHAEOLOGIC IMPACTS: 7 SF / 0 Structures

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: This alternative consists of two connected mini-roundabouts, forming a peanut-like shape. The peanut-shape requires that left turning vehicles travel through both roundabouts before exiting. A sidewalk or shared use path may generally run where the existing sidewalk is now. Shared lane markings would be painted within the roundabout for bicyclists who are comfortable riding in traffic.

FEATURES:

- Peanut-shaped dual mini-roundabouts
 - Inscribed diameter of mini-roundabouts is 70-feet
 - No signals
 - Mountable islands on approaches **(a)**, mountable aprons **(b)** at roundabout centers, mountable edges **(c)** for tight turns. The location and amount of mountable space were determined based on truck tracking movements.
- New green space along east side of roundabout **(d)**
- Marked pedestrian crossings of all five approaches
- Sidewalk around perimeter for pedestrians or people walking bicycles; not wide enough to ride bicycles on walk

FIGURE 11-8: DUAL ROUNDABOUT, REALIGNED ROADWAY



- Shared lane markings **(e)** for people riding bicycles to have the option to ride in the roundabout

CONSIDERATIONS:

- Potvin Park is significantly impacted **(f)**
- Vehicle access to three driveways along S. Winooski Ave into roundabout is uncommon **(g)**
- Less usable public gathering space than currently exists
- Loss of vehicle access and parking at 457 St. Paul Street **(h)**
- St. Paul Street bus stop to be moved further north
- Plowing is potentially more complicated with raised island features and roundabout geometry
- The additional striping would require more regular maintenance
- Drainage enhancements (bioswales, infiltration areas) would require more regular maintenance
- New mountable areas and central planting islands may require city resources to maintain

LONGEST CROSSWALK LENGTH: 41 feet

VEHICLE TRACKING: A WB-67 is able to traverse the splitter islands and central apron as needed to travel through the intersection; the CITY BUS must traverse the central island and overhang the green strip to maneuver from eastbound Howard Street to northbound St Paul Street. These vehicle paths are illustrated in Figure 11-9 and Figure 11-10.

GOALS MET:

- Safety: 8 of 10
- Accessibility: 4 of 6
- Neighborhood: -1 of 3

ADDITIONAL CRITERIA:

- Net change in permeable area: + 1,100 SF
- Parking impacts:
 - Loss of 5 off-street parking spaces at 457 St Paul Street
 - Loss of approximately 8 on-street parking spaces

OPPORTUNITIES FOR ENHANCEMENT:

- Improved transit shelter(s)
- Shared use path (instead of sidewalk) for bicyclists not comfortable riding in the roundabout
- Stormwater retention: enhance green space, permeable medians and apron

**FIGURE 11-9: SOUTHBOUND WB-67 AT THE ST PAUL STREET
ENTRANCE**



**FIGURE 11-10: EASTBOUND CITY BUS ENTERING AT THE
HOWARD STREET ENTRANCE**



ALTERNATIVE 5: Modern Roundabout, Existing Geometry

TIMEFRAME: Long Term

COST ESTIMATE: \$1.6 – 2.1 million

RIGHT OF WAY (ROW) IMPACT: 3,300 SF / 2 Properties

UTILITY IMPACTS: 1 Pole

HISTORIC / ARCHAEOLOGIC IMPACTS: 950 SF / 1 Structure

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: This alternative consists of a one-lane modern roundabout with five approaches. The space required for the large-radius roundabout and the way it must be situated for five entrance legs would require the full taking of the building at 457 St. Paul Street (a). As with Alternative 4 (Dual Roundabout), a sidewalk or shared use path (b) would generally run where the existing sidewalk is now. Shared lane markings (c) may be painted within the approaches of the roundabout for bicyclists who are comfortable riding in traffic.

FEATURES:

- Single-lane modern roundabout with five approaches
 - 100 feet inscribed diameter
 - No signals
 - Mountable islands (a) on approaches, mountable apron (b) at roundabout center, mountable edges (c) for tight turns
- Potvin Park remains (d)
- Marked pedestrian crossings of all five approaches
- Sidewalk around perimeter for pedestrians or shared use path for both pedestrians and people walking bicycles (e)

- Shared lane markings with green background for people riding bicycles to have the option to ride in the roundabout (f)
- Bicycle exit from roundabout for northbound S. Winooski Ave bicyclists (i)

FIGURE 11-11: MODERN ROUNDABOUT, EXISTING GEOMETRY



CONSIDERATIONS:

- Full take of building and property at 457 St. Paul Street **(g)** requiring Uniform Relocation Assistance
- Difficult vehicle access to two houses along S. Winooski Ave **(h)**
- Grade of sidewalk approaches may be higher than permitted by ADA standards
- Plowing is potentially more complicated with raised island features and roundabout geometry
- The additional striping would require more regular maintenance
- Drainage enhancements (bioswales, infiltration areas) would require more regular maintenance
- New mountable areas and central planting islands may require city resources to maintain

LONGEST CROSSWALK LENGTH: 33 feet

VEHICLE TRACKING: Some large vehicles were unable to stay within the tracking pads of the roundabout, particularly for the acute right turns (northbound St Paul to westbound Howard, or southbound S. Winooski to northbound St Paul). This maneuver could instead be completed by turning 270 degrees left. A single unit truck exceeding the design vehicle dimensions is illustrated in Figure 11-12.

GOALS MET:

- Safety: 8/10
- Accessibility: 4/6
- Neighborhood: -2/3

This size and position of the roundabout is selected to:

- Fit all five approaches
- Create deflection so that incoming vehicles must slow down to enter
- Allow for trucks and buses to maneuver around it

ADDITIONAL CRITERIA:

- Net change in permeable area: + 3,380 SF
- Parking impacts:
 - Loss of parking lot at 457 St. Paul St (along with the building)
 - Loss of approximately one on-street parking space

OPPORTUNITIES FOR ENHANCEMENT:

- Improved transit shelter(s)
- Shared use path (instead of sidewalk) for bicyclists not comfortable riding in the roundabout
- Stormwater retention: enhance green space, permeable medians and apron

FIGURE 11-12: A SU-40 TRUCK NAVIGATING THE ROUNDABOUT



ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED BUT NOT ADVANCED

Several additional alternatives were considered but not advanced. These intersection configurations included:

1. Various Intersection Controls within the Realigned South Winooski Avenue Alternative

These various alternatives included various intersection control mechanisms at the Realigned South Winooski Avenue / St. Paul Street intersection, and Howard Street / St. Paul Street intersection.

- Signal / Roundabout Combination. The signal / roundabout combination intersection alternative was not considered as the two intersections are too close together to not operate in concert. The proposed realigned roadway alternatives both operate as a single intersection: the signalized intersections are proposed as a coordinated system, and the dual roundabouts are connected as a single intersection a single intersection. As two independent intersections, queued vehicles at a red light or lined up to enter the roundabout would interrupt the efficiency of the adjacent intersection.
- Stop Control South Winooski Avenue Approach. It was determined that if the South Winooski Avenue approach was stop controlled with no control on St. Paul Street, South Winooski Avenue would experience significant delay and queueing.

2. All-Way Stop

It was determined the St. Paul Street approaches at Howard Street and South Winooski Avenue would experience significant delay and queueing if forced to stop at the study intersection.

3. Single Mini Roundabout

In general, mini-roundabouts are not recommended at locations with high truck volumes and with five entrance approaches. The FHWA Technical Report³ “Mini-Roundabouts” states “mini-roundabouts are generally not recommended for intersections with more than four legs” and “high volumes of trucks will significantly reduce the capacity of a mini-roundabout”. Discussion with Dr. Wei Zhang from the FHWA Office of Safety Research and Development indicated that while “it is very rare to use a mini-roundabout at a 5-leg intersection”, a 5-leg mini-roundabout is in design in Anoka MN. The geographic location is different, located in a more residential neighborhood, off the primary road network on generally level ground, with the minimum approach angle between adjacent entrances at approximately 45-degrees

A single mini-roundabout was sketched, and is illustrated in Figure 11-13. The South Winooski Avenue approach intersects St Paul Street at a 24-degree acute angle. Three geometric issues arise from designing a small-radius roundabout with this acute angle:

3

<https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/intersection/innovative/roundabouts/fhwas10007/>

FIGURE 11-13: 5-APPROACH MINI-ROUNABOUT.



- a. Rather than merging into the roundabout circulating aisle, southbound S. Winooski Avenue entering vehicles must cross the northbound St Paul Street exiting path, creating a high-risk head-on collision conflict point.
- b. Southbound drivers approaching from St Paul Street would need to focus their attention sharply

to the left for oncoming traffic from South Winooski Avenue, distracting from events directly in front of their vehicle, such as bicyclists in the travel lane or congestion within the roundabout.

- c. The yield bar for the South Winooski Avenue entrance to the roundabout would cross the northbound exiting lane (see a. above) and placed 40-feet from the circulating lane (typically 4-feet); vehicles on this approach will have trouble safely entering the roundabout.

A single mini-roundabout is too small to accommodate all five legs of the intersection given the acute angle of the approaching roadway.

4. Dual Roundabout Centered on S. Winooski Axis

This alternative would have proposed a second mini roundabout, similar to Alternative 4, but instead of centered on St Paul Street the second roundabout would be more easterly centered on South Winooski. This alignment would have forced the heavier traffic volume on St Paul Street to turn left to approach the roundabout, ascend a steep grade to the roundabout, and turn right to enter the roundabout. With a large volume of heavy vehicles, through the St Paul approaches, this alternative would require significant trailer tracking pads, resulting in extensive hardscape improvements. Additionally the uphill approach grade would be difficult in winter conditions.

By removing these options from the list of alternatives, the final list is a more concise list with one distinct alternative for each geometry and control type.

12.0 TRAFFIC EVALUATION

The five alternatives and the No Build alternative were modeled in a traffic analysis software package from Trafficware to determine the average delay, level-of-service, and average queue length for each approach and each intersection as a whole.

12.1 | VEHICLE LOS ANALYSIS

LEVEL OF SERVICE DEFINITION

Level of service (LOS) is a performance metric describing the delay experienced by motorists at an intersection. LOS is calculated using the procedures outlined in the 2000 and 2010 Highway Capacity Manuals.⁴ In addition to traffic volumes, key inputs include the number of lanes at each intersection, traffic control type (signalized or unsignalized), and the traffic signal timing plans.

The 2010 Highway Capacity Manual defines six qualitative grades to describe the level of service at an intersection, based on the average delay experienced. Figure 12-1 shows the various LOS grades and delay ranges for signalized and unsignalized intersections.

The delay thresholds for LOS at signalized and unsignalized intersections differ because of the driver's expectations of the operating efficiency for the respective traffic control conditions. According to HCM procedures, an overall LOS cannot be calculated for two-way stop-controlled intersections because not all movements experience delay. In signalized and all-way stop-

FIGURE 12-1: LEVEL-OF-SERVICE CRITERIA FOR SIGNALIZED AND UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

LOS	UNSIGNALIZED	SIGNALIZED
	AVERAGE DELAY (SEC)	AVERAGE DELAY (SEC)
A	≤ 10.0	≤ 10.0
B	10.1-15.0	10.1-20.0
C	15.1-25.0	20.1-35.0
D	25.1-35.0	35.1-55.0
E	35.1-50.0	55.1-80.0
F	> 50.0	> 80.0

controlled intersections, all movements experience delay and an overall LOS can be calculated.

The VTrans policy on level of service for Signalized and All-Way Stop Intersections is:

- Overall LOS C should be maintained for state-maintained highways and other streets accessing the state's facilities
- Reduced LOS may be acceptable on a case-by-case basis when considering, at minimum, current and future traffic volumes, delays, volume to capacity ratios, crash rates, and negative impacts (cultural, environmental, etc.) as a result of improvement necessary to achieve LOS C.

The City of Burlington considers LOS D to be acceptable in the urban environment, and may choose to accept even greater vehicle delay in the peak hour to address non-motorized travel priorities.

with non NEMA-standard phasing. Because of these limitations, HCM 2000 methodologies are employed where necessary.

⁴ The HCM 2010 does not provide methodologies for calculating intersection delays at certain intersection types including signalized intersections with exclusive pedestrian phases and signalized intersections

VOLUME TO CAPACITY RATIO DEFINITION

In addition to LOS, a key performance measure is the volume-to-capacity ratio (v/c ratio) of an intersection, also known as the degree of saturation. A v/c ratio less than 0.85 generally indicates that adequate capacity is available and vehicles are not expected to experience significant queues and delays. As the v/c ratio approaches 1.0, traffic flow may become unstable, and delay and queuing conditions may occur. Once the demand exceeds the capacity (a v/c ratio greater than 1.0), traffic flow is unstable and excessive delay and queuing is expected. Under these conditions, vehicles may require more than one signal cycle to pass through the intersection. For design purposes, a v/c ratio between 0.85 and 0.95 generally is used for the peak hour of the horizon year (generally 20 years out).

LEVEL OF SERVICE RESULTS

The Highway Capacity Manual congestion reports within Synchro (version 9), a traffic analysis software package from Trafficware that is routinely relied upon by transportation engineering professionals, were used to assess traffic congestion at the study intersection with the existing volumes for each alternative, including the No Build alternative.






Preliminary results in the PM peak hour are shown in Figure 12-2 and Figure 12-3 and discussed in Section 5.3.




LIMITATIONS TO LOS AND V/C

Level-Of-Service and Volume-to-Capacity are both measures of vehicular travel during the peak hour of the day. It is a valuable tool to assess traffic congestion, but as noted earlier, there are often competing perspectives on acceptable traffic operations based on multi-modal accessibility or neighborhood characteristic considerations. For a specific location, it may be more important to provide certain features, such as pedestrian crossing enhancements, that will be present throughout the day, rather than planning an intersection for one particular hour.

Additionally, overdesigning for an intersection should be avoided due to negative impacts to pedestrians associated with wider street crossings, the potential for speeding, land use impacts, and cost.

FIGURE 12-2 LEVEL OF SERVICE RESULTS

	A0 - No Build	LOS	Delay	v/c
	Overall	C	29	0.72
	EB, Howard St	C	34	0.66
	WB, Howard St	C	25	0.12
	NB, St Paul St	C	24	0.64
	SB, St Paul St	C	28	0.75
	SWB, Winooski Ave	D	36	0.73
	A1 - Quick Build	LOS	Delay	v/c
	Overall	C	33	0.75
	EB, Howard St	D	39	0.71
	WB, Howard St	C	27	0.12
	NB, St Paul St	C	27	0.71
	SB, St Paul St	C	30	0.76
	SWB, Winooski Ave	D	41	0.79
	A2 - Signal	LOS	Delay	v/c
	Overall	E	74	0.74
	EB, Howard St	F	>100	0.94
	WB, Howard St	D	36	0.18
	NB, St Paul St	D	39	0.77
	SB, St Paul St	E	78	0.95
	SWB, Winooski Ave	F	99	0.96
	A3 - Dual Signal: Winooski Ave	LOS	Delay	v/c
	Overall	C	27	0.60
	WB, Winooski Ave	D	44	0.80
	NB, St Paul St	C	26	0.57
	SB, St Paul St	B	16	0.56
	A3 - Dual Signal: Howard St	LOS	Delay	v/c
	Overall	C	21	0.78
	EB, Howard St	D	55	0.82
	WB, Howard St	C	30	0.13
	NB, St Paul St	B	13	0.49
	SB, St Paul St	B	16	0.85

	A4 - Dual Roundabout: Winooski Ave	LOS	Delay	v/c
	Overall	B	13	n/a
	WB, Winooski Ave	B	14	0.51
	NB, St Paul St	A	9	0.51
	SB, St Paul St	B	16	0.63
	A4 - Dual Roundabout: Howard St	LOS	Delay	v/c
	Overall	B	15	n/a
	EB, Howard St	B	16	0.46
	WB, Howard St	A	7	0.07
	NB, St Paul St	B	11	0.52
	SB, St Paul St	B	17	0.76
	A5 - Roundabout	LOS	Delay	v/c
	Overall	B	14	n/a
	EB, Howard St	B	16	0.46
	WB, Howard St	A	7	0.07
	NB, St Paul St	B	11	0.52
	SB, St Paul St	B	16	0.63
	SWB, Winooski Ave	B	14	0.51

12.2 | QUEUING ANALYSIS

In addition to the congestion analysis, estimated average queues were evaluated using SimTraffic microsimulation software. Five one-hour-long simulations were averaged together to estimate queue lengths,⁵ shown in the table to the right.

The queuing analysis is a valuable tool to evaluate complex intersections, such as the closely spaced signals of Alternative A3 or Dual Roundabouts of A4. Abbreviations:

EB	eastbound Howard Street
WB	westbound Howard Street
NB	northbound St Paul Street
SB	southbound St Paul Street
SWB	southbound S. Winooski Avenue

⁵ As each run is different, a difference of less than 50 feet (or three vehicles) should not be seen as significant.

FIGURE 12-3 ESTIMATED QUEUE LENGTHS

Queue Lengths by Number of Vehicles (assume 20 ft per vehicle)

A0 - No Build					
	EB	WB	NB	SB	SWB
Maximum Queue	12	6	13	25	17
Average Queue	7	2	11	12	9
95th Queue	11	4	15	23	15
A1 - Quick Build					
	EB	WB	NB	SB	SWB
Maximum Queue	15	5	14	23	17
Average Queue	8	2	10	11	9
95th Queue	13	4	15	20	14
A2 - Signal					
	EB	WB	NB	SB	SWB
Maximum Queue	19	4	24	36	19
Average Queue	10	2	21	28	12
95th Queue	22	4	28	43	19
A3 - Dual Signal					
	EB	WB	NB	SB	SWB
Maximum Queue	13	4	20	19	16
Average Queue	6	1	8	8	8
95th Queue	11	3	15	15	13
A4 - Dual Roundabout					
	EB	WB	NB	SB	SWB
Maximum Queue	15	12	11	17	17
Average Queue	8	5	6	9	9
95th Queue	21	16	15	19	22
A5 - Roundabout					
	EB	WB	NB	SB	SWB
Maximum Queue	11	4	9	13	11
Average Queue	4	1	3	5	5
95th Queue	8	3	7	10	8

12.3 | TRAFFIC CONGESTION DISCUSSION

ALTERNATIVE 0 (NO BUILD)

- No changes to signal timing or geometry

The traffic evaluation indicates that the study intersection currently operates at an LOS of C. The existing signal has no pedestrian phases, and right turns on red are permitted for all approaches.

ALTERNATIVE 1 (QUICK BUILD)

- Restricts right turns on red for all approaches

The one difference between the No Build scenario and Alternative 1 (Quick Build Project) is that the demonstration project restricts right turns on red. This change increases average delay by less than 4 seconds. The purpose of this restriction is to better protect pedestrians and reduce the potential for crashes at this complex and high skew angle intersection.


ALTERNATIVE 2 (SIGNAL)

- Two 3-second leading pedestrian intervals
- Assumes 5 pedestrian calls per hour conflicting with each approach
- Right turns on red restricted for the westbound Howard Street approach and the southwest-bound Winooski Ave approach

Alternative 2 has the least efficient traffic operations of all the alternatives, due to the above changes that reduce the signal capacity. These changes, however, make the intersection safer for pedestrians and will eliminate right turns on red for approaches

with the highest potential for conflict. The average delay is 74 seconds and the LOS is E.

Time-of-day modifications to the signal programming would improve the LOS of Alternative 2. A leading pedestrian phase is modeled in the results for Alternative 2 illustrated in Figure 12-2. This signal timing allows pedestrians to enter the intersection during an all-red phase for vehicles, but reduces the overall vehicular capacity of the intersection. During the peak hours, it may be possible to eliminate the leading pedestrian phase, increasing the intersection capacity during the highest traffic volume periods. (Pedestrians would still have a pedestrian phase concurrent with the vehicle phases during these peak hours.) This modification would improve the LOS to a C, and the average delay to 27 seconds. The 12-hour count conducted at the study intersection in October 2016 showed that pedestrian volumes fluctuate throughout the day but do not peak at the same time as the vehicle volumes.

A2 - Signal - No LPI		LOS	Delay	v/c
	Overall	C	27	0.74
	EB, Howard St	C	33	0.69
	WB, Howard St	C	23	0.13
	NB, St Paul St	C	23	0.69
	SB, St Paul St	C	27	0.78
	SWB, Winooski Ave	C	31	0.73

ALTERNATIVE 3 (DUAL SIGNAL)

- Two separate intersections, coordinated with each other
- Three 3-second leading pedestrian intervals

Both intersections in Alternative 3 have an LOS of C. The alternative has similar delays as the No Build alternative but shorter average queue lengths than the No Build alternative.

ALTERNATIVES 4 AND 5 (DUAL AND SINGLE ROUNDBOUTS)

From an operational perspective, the roundabout alternatives perform with the greatest efficiency (on par with the dual signal), both operating at an LOS of B. Delays are approximately 15 seconds. The single roundabout has smaller queues than the dual roundabout.

13.0 ALTERNATIVES COMPARISON

In this section of the report, the alternatives are evaluated based on how they meet the 16 issues to address and how they impact traffic circulation. In both cases, the five alternatives are compared to the existing conditions, referred to as a **No Build** alternative.

A full-size version of the alternatives matrix below is presented as an attachment to the document.

The matrix below shows how each alternative improves, worsens, does not change, or provides an opportunity for the 16 issues to address. It also relates each alternative to the additional key study elements and their metrics.

FIGURE 13-1 ALTERNATIVES EVALUATION MATRIX

Issues to Address	Study Goals			Alternatives					
	Improve safety for people walking, bicycling, driving, and taking transit	Meet (and exceed) accessibility standards	Foster the emerging neighborhood	0 No Build	1 Quick Build Project Existing Geometry	2 New Signal System Existing Geometry	3 Dual Signal System Realigned Roadway	4 Dual Roundabout Realigned Roadway	5 Modern Roundabout Existing Geometry
1 Crossing length for all modes	x	x		no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
2 Pedestrian crossing guidance	x	x		no change	no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
3 Pedestrian crossing of S. Winooski Ave	x	x		no change	no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
4 Crash rate	x			no change	no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
5 Comfortable transit facilities		x		no change	no change	opportunity	opportunity	opportunity	opportunity
6 Visibility of traffic signals to motorists	x	x		no change	no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
7 Vehicle speeds	x			no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
8 Running of red lights	x			no change	no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
9 Wrong-way driving on S. Winooski Ave	x			no change	no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
10 Trucks on S. Winooski Ave	x			no change	no change	no change	no change	no change	no change
11 Right turns on red	x			no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
12 Use of Potvin Park			x	no change	no change	improvement	worse	worse	no change
13 Public gathering space			x	no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	no change	worse
14 Bicycle infrastructure	x	x		no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	opportunity	opportunity
15 Stormwater management				no change	no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
16 Support relationship between residents and businesses			x	no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	no change	worse
Additional key study elements	Metric								
Traffic Operations: Level of Service	Level of Service			C	C	E (possible C)	C+C	B+B	B
Traffic Operations: Total Average Queue Length	Total Average Queue Length (sum of all five approaches)			730 ft	760 ft	1220 ft	1100 ft	690 ft	310 ft
Reduction in Asphalt Area	Change in Impermeable Area			n/a	0 sf	-1270 sf	-5300 sf	-7720 sf	-3760 sf
Parking Options: Change in Parking Spaces	Change in Parking Spaces			n/a	0	0	-7 on-street spaces	-8 on-street, -5 off-street	-1 on-street
Right of Way Impacts	Square Feet / Number of Properties			0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0	7 / 1	3300 / 2
Utility Impacts	Number of Impacted Poles			0	0	0	1	1	1
Historic / Archaeologic Impacts	Area of Potential Impact (SF) / Number of Historic Structures			0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0	7 / 0	950 / 1
Timeframe	Immediate (1 year) / Short (2-5 years) / Long (5+ years)			Immediate	Immediate	Short - Long	Long	Long	Long
Planning Level Cost Estimate	2018 Dollars			\$0	\$5,000 - 25,000	\$1.0 - 1.5 million	\$1.7 - 2.2 million	\$1.9 - 2.4 million	\$1.6 - 2.1 million

14.0 PUBLIC MEETING – ALTERNATIVES PRESENTATION



A second public meeting was held on Tuesday, June 27, 2017 in the ArtsRiot Event Space near the Winooski – Howard – St Paul intersection. This meeting was held to review the alternatives developed and get feedback from residents, neighbors, commuters, travelers, and other interested parties. The City's Public Works Staff, the RSG consultant team, members of the Project Advisory Committee, and at least 25 residents, discussed the alternatives following a brief presentation. Members of the public were invited to comment on the alternatives and vote on their preferred alternative.

The top three Issues to Address as voted by the attendees included the missing pedestrian crossing of South Winooski Avenue (13 votes), supporting relationships between residents and business (9 votes), and vehicle speed (8 votes). Many participants expressed a preference for Alternative 2, maintaining the existing traffic pattern with a smaller intersection, shorter pedestrian crossing distances, enhanced pedestrian environment at the corners, a crosswalk across South Winooski, and pedestrian signals. Some members of the public expressed a preference for a roundabout at the intersection, noting that a mini-roundabout should be considered by a qualified professional; the mini roundabout was considered and rejected as discussed earlier in this document.

Notes, presentation materials and the additional results from this meeting are available as an attachment to this report.



FIGURE 14-1: PHOTOS FROM THE ALTERNATIVES PRESENTATION MEETING ON JUNE 27, 2017



15.0 DEMONSTRATION PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION

Local Motion, with AARP and the Burlington Department of Public Works, coordinated pop-up installation of Alternative 1 - the demonstration project meant to showcase aspects of Alternative 2. RSG assisted in the installation, helping layout the temporary striping and bollards, and Local Motion held community events to create temporary park infrastructure, such as benches, planters, and bike racks. The Demonstration Project was installed in the early morning hours of Wednesday, July 26 and continued through the weekend until Sunday July 30.

Throughout the demonstration project and after it was removed, feedback was collected by Local Motion and by the Winooski-Howard-St Paul Intersection Scoping Study project email. Of the 38 comments tallied to date, 31 were positive. Many respondents requested pedestrian signals, while others suggested modifications to the layout to improve walking, biking and driving conditions.

Not all responses to the demonstration were supportive, and many of the overall positive responses had suggestions for improvement. Some residents were not content with the difficulty turning right in a motor vehicle from southbound South Winooski Avenue to northbound St Paul Street, while others believed that there was no reason to improve the intersection at all.

RSG compiled the most common questions and pieces of feedback and responded to them in an online presentation, located here: <https://sway.com/e5vfJ7tbFEkiVxO1>

Local Motion responded to the pop-up with a recommendation to install a “semi-permanent” pilot project using paint and bolted-down bollards, in place for six months to a year and with a plan for detailed data collection and analysis.

RSG incorporated the public feedback as well as recommendations from Local Motion into its final recommendations.

“I came across this last weekend and LOVE IT... It calmed an otherwise difficult intersection and made it much more pedestrian friendly.

I think that it's a great idea to implement here and elsewhere in the city where edges of intersections could be taken back for people.”


- Survey Response

“Please leave well enough alone.”

- Survey Response

"I love the pop-up demo at Winooski, Howard, and St. Paul St. I like the calming impact on traffic, the shorter crossing distances for pedestrians, and the additional public space available in front of Shy Guy."

- Survey Response



RECOMMENDATIONS AND NEXT STEPS

16.0 RECOMMENDATION AND NEXT STEPS


It is clear the community not only supports modification to the intersection that prioritizes safe pedestrian and bicycle travel through the intersection, but also one that increases public gathering space in front of the businesses at the intersection and reduces unnecessary space for vehicles. When curb extensions were first proposed at the Local Concerns Meeting in October 2016, residents were excited about this possibility, and this excitement turned into approval at the Alternatives meeting and from the pop-up demonstration's feedback.

RECOMMENDATION

In the short term, RSG recommends implementation of a semi-permanent quick build project based on the pop-up demonstration with minor design modifications, described below. The project should include a data collection component to assist with an objective evaluation. This would be a step toward a long-term goal of reconstructing the intersection with narrower lanes, reduced curb radii, pedestrian signals, and an accessible marked crossing of South Winooski Ave.

During the medium term (2-5 years), Potvin Park curb should be extended as far as possible as determined in the semi-permanent demonstration project. This curb extension should include associated sidewalks and landings on either side of South Winooski Avenue to install a pedestrian crosswalk across the missing leg. Associated bike lane extension striping, green markings, and bike box striping may be installed at this time to enhance the bike lanes.

FIGURE 16-1: ALTERNATIVE 2 (AS PRESENTED IN THE ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS REPORT)



The long-term reconstruction would be based on Alternative 2, including design modifications where determined appropriate based on the semi-permanent demonstration project and public feedback.

CONSIDERATIONS IN RECOMMENDATION

Public Support was greatest for the enhancement to the intersection in its current configuration, maintaining Potvin Park as a useable public space and enhancing the commercial potential of the western corners.

Roundabouts were infeasible in this location; the five approach legs precluded a mini-roundabout; a large, modern roundabout impacted the potentially historic and commercial resource; and the dual mini-roundabout required non-standard driveway access directly into the travel lane.

The maintenance demands for the recommended alternative is expected to be the least of the alternatives analyzed. The recommended configuration, and associated maintenance, is very similar to the existing intersection. Additional maintenance concerns as a result of the recommended features include:

- The immediate term quick build project recommends installation of flexible post bollards; these bollards are likely to be struck by vehicles and will need to be monitored regularly. The installation may be maintained over winter similar to the protected bike lane installation in other locations on Winooski Avenue around the city.
- Shorter crossing distances will result in less crosswalk striping, but additional paint will be required for the bike lane markings on an annual basis.
- Reduced curb radii and bulb outs may make plowing more difficult, but this is not unlike other bulb-out crossing designs throughout the city.
- New stormwater BMPs may require seasonal maintenance to ensure proper functioning.

- The extended nose between South Winooski Avenue and St Paul Street may result in increased mowing as part of Potvin Park maintenance
- The plaza space and associated plantable areas are expected to be maintained by the adjacent commercial properties. If not, low maintenance materials may be selected to minimize maintenance responsibilities.

VIABILITY OF THE RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVE

The phased implementation of the recommended alternative is both feasible and reasonable. The recommended alternative addresses all of the criteria identified by the community with improvements over the existing conditions and directly meets the documented purpose and need. The recommended alternative is consistent with the existing development pattern and is the least expensive long term solution. As documented in the public outreach process, the community is united in the need for improvement and the recommended alternative as the solution.

The investment in bulbouts, shorter pedestrian crossing distances, crosswalks at each leg, and pedestrian signals, with associated drainage and bicycle travel enhancements, is responsive to the community need, serves the public good, and is viable.

FUNDING

The semi-permanent quick build project and the long-term reconstruction may be eligible for a number of grant and funding opportunities. Below is a list of various funding sources that could be used to help with their implementation, with funding ratios based on data available as of August 2017.

- **Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP Funds):** TAP funds are a federally-funded, VTrans-administered, and locally-managed grant program that have been used to increase bicycle and pedestrian mobility in the past, although the funds are specifically dedicated towards stormwater improvement projects over the next funding cycles. The funding source should be monitored as a potential supplement for the stormwater enhancement features.
- **Bicycle and Pedestrian Program:** Similar to TAP funds, the Bicycle and Pedestrian Program is a federally-funded, VTrans-administered, and locally-managed grant program dedicated to bicycle and pedestrian improvement projects specifically, and are provided via a competitive application process. This scoping study was funded through this program. These funds may cover a maximum of 80% of the project, with the remaining portion likely coming from the project-sponsoring organization. A state-funded, 50% match grant for small scale projects is also available through this program, which may be helpful for implementing the phased Potvin Park Curb Extension medium term recommendation. The grant program is typically announced in the spring.
- **FHWA Accelerated Innovation Deployment (AID) Demonstration:** The Safe Transportation for Every Pedestrian (STEP) Program is part of the FHWA Every Day Counts fourth round of innovations. The recommended improvements may be eligible for federal funding as an AID Demonstration project. Innovative project delivery, such as consultant management and refinement through the long-term demonstration project, may strengthen the project funding application.

- **Community Sponsorship or Private Fundraising:** The City could work to raise private funds for the new plaza/seating area, at least in part, possibly with some memorial that acknowledges the contributions.

DETAILS AND DESIGN MODIFICATIONS

The semi-permanent quick build project should be installed through the 2018 summer season - when weather allows for a high amount of pedestrian and bicycle use - to gain a full understanding of how the revisions would work for all road users. The demonstration project is intended to be installed with DPW or volunteer labor at minimal investment; federal grant funding is not anticipated for the immediate demonstration project.

Data collection to document the success of the demonstration is recommended may include: traffic counts (all modes), in-person observations during peak hours, and crash data to ensure crashes are not increasing. The operating characteristics and turning radii of vehicles should be documented to inform the permanent installation of curbs in future phases.

At minimum, design modifications for the semi-permanent quick build project should include the following:

- **Move the stop bar of South Winooski Ave up to the end of the park extension.**
- **The white line should be placed at the edge of traveled way,** typically marking an 11-foot lane. Bollards should be placed at the edge of the desired roadway, 14-feet offset from the centerline along St Paul Street and South Winooski Avenue, and 12-feet along Howard Street.

- **Marked crosswalk of South Winooski Ave.** This was included in Alternative 2 but was not included in Alternative 1 or the pop-up since there would not be an ADA compliant connection to the existing sidewalks (curb cuts would be necessary). If the funding for the associated curb ramps and sidewalk approaches can be acquired, the marked crossing of South Winooski Avenue may be included. It would still be recommended to revise the curb radius to best suit the southbound hard right turn from South Winooski Avenue to northbound St Paul Street.
- **Include “No right turn on red” signs.** (This was also included in Alternative 1 but resources were not available at the time of the pop-up to put them in place.)
- **Install a “Stop Here on Red” (MUTCD R10-6) at the stop bar of southbound St. Paul Street, and cross stripe the area beyond the stop bar to discourage encroachment.** With the extension of Potvin Park, drivers may assume that the stopping point should be extended as well. During the pop-up, a business owner at the intersection noted that he observed cars pulling past the stop bar; this space is needed for vehicles making the southbound South Winooski Avenue hard right to northbound St Paul Street.
- **Increase the curb radius for right turns from southbound St. Paul Street onto Howard Street.** The curb extension in front of 457 St. Paul Street during the pop-up made this turn more difficult for trucks. Modeling an SU-30 making this turn shows that the turn is possible, but only if the truck encroaches on northbound St. Paul Street traffic and/or the eastbound Howard Street approach lane (depending on the exact movements of the truck). To avoid encroaching on other lanes,

the curb radius would need to be wider and the curb on the north side of Howard Street would need to be pulled back from the pavement by up to two feet. These changes would result in longer crossing distances than the current design shows.

- **Increase the curb radius for hard right turns from southbound South Winooski Avenue onto St. Paul Street for motor vehicles.** The dimensions of the Potvin Park extension in the alternative designs allow for a passenger car to make the turn, but a larger radius would assist the turn. Modifications to the curb extension may alter the access to driveways on S. Winooski Ave, particularly 426 South Winooski Avenue.

These modifications have been incorporated into the Quick Build Alternative sketch in Attachment 4.

This report and the recommendation was presented to the Burlington Public Works Commission on February 21, 2018. The Commission was generally supportive of the recommendation, Public Works Staff noted that the recommendations set forth in this document were intended to be pursued.

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR LONG-TERM DESIGN

The following summary circles back to the elements of the recommended design beyond the pedestrian- and bicycle- centered improvements. These were touched upon in the Alternatives Analysis report and are important aspects to the future of the intersection.

Cost: Alternative 2 is the least expensive of the long-term options studied in the Alternatives Analysis. The conceptual cost estimate of the total project cost, including consultant fees, inspection, project management, and construction, is approximately \$1,100,000.

Parking Options: This alternative does not require removal of parking.

Traffic Operations: Two signal timing options were offered in the Alternatives Analysis, one which had two leading pedestrian intervals (LPI) per cycle throughout the day, and one that had LPIs in effect all day except during the peak hours, to alleviate stress on traffic operations. RSG recommends to program the signal with a LPI throughout the day, while also regularly monitoring traffic operations. If congestion is observed to worsen significantly, the LPI may be removed during peak hours, as vehicle volumes but not pedestrian volumes are higher during these times.

Stormwater Management: This alternative will increase permeable space by approximately 1,300 square feet. The new plaza space may also be constructed with permeable pavers to maximize stormwater management.

Transit: There are two transit stops near the WHSP intersection: on the west side of Potvin Park (northbound on St. Paul Street) and on the south side of the eastbound Howard Street approach. Transit stops will not need to be relocated, but as observed early in the study, the transit stops could be improved to make them more accessible and friendly to bus riders. They could include a platform that is plowed in the winter, a curb-cut, seating, and/or a shelter. It is recommended that the stops are improved as part of the long-term reconstruction of the intersection.



A vertical orange bar with a slight gradient and a thin white line running through it, located on the left side of the page.

ATTACHMENT 1:

HISTORIC / ARCHAEOLOGICAL REPORT

ARCHEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION

Intersection of South Winooski Avenue, Howard Street and St. Paul Street

City of Burlington
Chittenden County, Vermont

HAA # 5074.11

Submitted to:

Corey Mack, P.E.
Project Engineer
RSG
180 Battery St., Suite 350
Burlington, VT 05401
Phone: (802) 861-0513
Corey.Mack@rsginc.com

Prepared by:

Hartgen Archeological Associates, Inc.
PO Box 81
Putney, Vermont 05346
p +1 802 387 6020
f +1 802 387 8524
email: emanning@hartgen.com

www.hartgen.com

An ACRA Member Firm
www.acra-crm.org

March 2017

MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

SHPO Project Review Number:

Involved State and Federal Agencies: *Vermont Agency of Transportation (VTrans)*

Phase of Survey: *Archeological Resource and Historic Preservation Assessment*

LOCATION INFORMATION

Municipality: *City of Burlington*

County: *Chittenden County, Vermont*

SURVEY AREA

Length: *Unknown*

Width: *Unknown*

RESULTS OF RESEARCH

Precontact Archeological sites within one mile: *4*

Historic Archeological sites within one mile: *5*

NR/NRE districts in or adjacent:

Precontact Sensitivity: *low*

Historic Sensitivity: *low*

RECOMMENDATIONS

Archeological potential is low within the project APE because of previous disturbance. Project design should limit disturbance to areas of existing sidewalk footprint as much as possible.

Report Authors: *Elise Manning-Sterling and Walter R. Wheeler*

Date of Report: *March 2017*

ARCHEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION

INTRODUCTION AND CURRENT CONDITIONS

Hartgen Archeological Associates, Inc. was retained to conduct an archeological and historical assessment for the proposed improvements to the Intersection of South Winooski Avenue, Howard Street and St. Paul Street located in the City of Burlington, Chittenden County, Vermont (Map 1). The City of Burlington has received funding through the VTrans Bicycle & Pedestrian Program to plan for and identify bicycle/pedestrian improvements for the intersection of South Winooski Avenue, Howard Street, and St. Paul Street. The proposed project is planned to identify issues associated with intersection improvements to enhance accessibility for bicycle and pedestrian traffic. The project is under the review of Vermont Agency of Transportation (VTrans).

The project area is characterized as a residential neighborhood with a few small neighborhood stores situated at the junction of three main thoroughfares (Map 2, Photo 1). Howard Street runs east-west, while South Winooski Avenue extends north-south. St. Paul Avenue is aligned northwest-southeast, and intersects with South Winooski a little north of Howard Street. For the most part, the houses are set back at least 20 feet from the roadways (Photo 2). There are existing concrete sidewalks that are separated from the street by grass medians that vary in width, measuring approximately four to ten feet in width (Photo 3). There are numerous mature trees which are located within these grass medians separating the sidewalks along South Winooski Avenue and St. Paul Street (Photo 4). On the east side of South Winooski Avenue, located north of Howard Street, there is a decided slope, which contains several concrete stairways that lead from the sidewalk up to houses situated on the top of the hill (Photo 5). Potvin Park, a small triangular-shaped park, is located at the corner of the St. Paul Street and South Winooski Avenue intersection (Photo 6). The park, bordered to the east and west by cement sidewalks, contains a cement pad and stairs that are bound by modern wooden beam retaining walls. This central area is surrounded by plantings in wood chips. The walkways and stairs have iron railings.

BACKGROUND RESEARCH


Precontact Site File Research and Archeological Sensitivity

Environmental characteristics of an area are significant for determining the sensitivity for archeological resources. Precontact and historic groups often favored level, well-drained locations near wetlands and waterways. Therefore, topography, proximity to wetlands, and soils are examined to determine if there are landforms in the project area that are more likely to contain archeological resources. In addition, bedrock formations or other lithic sources may contain resources that may have been quarried by precontact groups. Other locations can also be special purpose sacred and traditional use sites. Soil conditions can provide a clue to past climatic conditions, as well as changes in local hydrology.


The project area is located within the Champlain Lowlands, situated approximately one mile east of Lake Champlain, and approximately 1.5 miles south of the Winooski River. The project area is located on gently sloping terrain down to the lake basin to the west, with steeper sloping terraces on the east side of South Winooski Avenue. There are no streams or head of draws located in the project vicinity. A quarry, which produces Monkton quartzite, is located approximately 2.5 miles to the northwest. The soils in the project areas consist of Munson and Raynham silt loams, 6 to 12 percent slopes which are typically encountered on terrace landforms, and are somewhat poorly drained (USDA 2016).

Examination of VDHP site files indicates that within several miles of the project area, that there are hundreds of sites, representing all precontact time periods, located on the shores of Lake Champlain, within the Winooski Intervale, and along the Winooski and Lamoille Rivers and their many tributaries. There are four precontact sites located within one mile of the project area, which are outlined in the Table below.

Intersection of South Winooski Avenue, Howard Street and St. Paul Street.
 City of Burlington, Chittenden County, Vermont
 Archeological Resource and Historic Assessment



Intersection of South Winooski Avenue, Howard Street and St. Paul Street.
 City of Burlington, Chittenden County, Vermont
 Archeological Resource and Historic Assessment



BBS January 20, 2017 C:\My Documents\HAA\CAD-GIS\ProjectFiles\S074-11-S Winooski-Intersection\S074-11-Map2.mxd



Photo 1. Photo shows the St. Paul Street, South Winooski Avenue and Howard Street Intersection. View is to the northwest.



Photo 2. Photo shows the driveways and medians in front of Structures 9 and 10, situated on the west side of St. Paul Street. View is to the west.



Photo 3. Photo shows the median with trees located on the west side of South Winooski Avenue adjacent to the park. View is to the north.



Photo 4. Photo shows median and trees located on the east side of St. Paul Street, in front of Structure 6. View is to the north.



Photo 5. Photo shows the sloping lawns and cement stairways leading to residences located on the east side of South Winooski Avenue, located north of Howard Street. View is to the north.



Photo 6. Photo shows the park located between St. Paul Street (on the left) and South Winooski Avenue (on the right). View is to the north.

Table 1. Vermont Archeological Inventory (VAI) precontact sites within one mile (1.6 km) of the Project Area

VAI Site No.	Site Identifier	Description	Approximate Proximity to Project Area
VT-CH-51	Native American Site	Woodland Period precontact site identified based on the presence of pottery	One mile (1.6 km) to the east northeast
VT-WN-161	Holloway Block Site	Multi-component precontact and 19 th century site located near the intersection of Battery and Main Streets. The precontact component was comprised of the presence of a scatter of quartzite flakes	3,800 feet to the northwest
VT-WN-1181	Native American Site	Small lithic scatter identified on a raised terrace overlooking a small unnamed tributary of the Winooski River	One mile (1.6 km) to the east

The VDHP Environmental Predictive Model was completed for the project area which produced an overall rating of 24 (Appendix 1), with a rating of 32 or above indicating precontact sensitivity. The project area received points based on its location within a travel corridor, on a high terrace overlooking Lake Champlain. While there are a large number of sites in the general project vicinity, the identified sites are most densely concentrated one to two miles away near the Lake Champlain and the Winooski River. Therefore, the project area was not given points based on high site density. Because of the presence of previous disturbance from road and sidewalk construction, and historic residential development, the project area's rating was decreased by an additional 32 points. The precontact sensitivity of the project area is considered to be low based on the presence of slope, the absence of nearby waterways, and previous historic disturbance from road and sidewalk construction and residential development.

Historic Site File Research and Archeological Sensitivity

Historic Sites

An examination of the VDHP archeological site files indicated that there are no historic archeological sites located within or adjacent to the project APE. Located within one-third of a mile from the project area, there are five historic archeological sites which were identified during a 1990s archeological survey (Corey and Petersen 1997). The historic archeological sites are outlined in Table 2.

Table 2. Vermont Archeological Inventory (VAI) historic sites within one mile (1.6 km) of the Project Area

VAI Site No.	Site Identifier	Description	Approximate Proximity to Project Area
VT-CH-732	Gregory Site	Stone Wall	One-third mile (1 km) to the northwest
VT-WN-733	Post Site	Structural debris	One-third mile (1 km) to the northwest
VT-WN-734	Coal Site	Historic Road	One-third mile (1 km) to the northwest
VT-WN-735	Lawn Site	Structural debris	One-third mile (1 km) to the northwest
VT-WN-735	Rail Site	Railroad tracks	One-third mile (1 km) to the northwest

Cemeteries

There are no cemeteries located within or adjacent to the project APE (Hyde and Hyde 1991).

State and National Register Sites

There are a number of historic structures located along Howard Street, South Winooski and St. Paul Streets that were inventoried and listed on the State of Vermont Historic Sites and Structures Survey (HSSS) in the 1970s. Those structures that were included as part of this study are outlined in Table 3. Additional documentation in the 1970s detailed the historic significance of these roads and avenues in this southern section of the City which is discussed below.

Table 3. State of Vermont Historic Sites & Structures Survey (VSSS) within the project APE

Structure # (Map 2) //VSSS Identifier or Address	Name and Age	Architectural Type or Description
Structure 1 //420 South Winooski Avenue	1938	Colonial Revival
Structure 2// 426 South Winooski Avenue	1917	Dutch Colonial Revival
Structure 3// 428 South Winooski Avenue	1921	Bungalow
Structure 4// 434-46 South Winooski Avenue	1924	Colonial-jerkinhead
Structure 5// 442 South Winooski Avenue	1923	Italianate-Spanish Modern
Structure 6// 476-478 St. Paul Street	ca. 1865	Double tenement housing
Structure 7// 407 South Winooski Avenue	1923 John Killary House	Colonial
Structure 10// 451 St. Paul Street (according to VSSS, Structure 10 similar to Structure 9)	ca. 1880	Workers housing
Structure 11// 457 St. Paul Street	ca. 1885	Apartments and store
Structure 13// 463-465 St. Paul Street	ca. 1924	Colonial Revival - Standard Meat market
Structure 14// 469-71-73 St. Paul Street	ca. 1925	Colonial Revival apartments

The Statement of Significance for St. Paul Street notes that this major thoroughfare, which was previously known as Shelburne Street, was important from the early days of Burlington's settlement. This road was used as a primary route by townspeople and traders traveling from the bay and wharf toward the main section of town near the square (St. Paul St. – Statement of Significance). As early as 1820, the lower section of St. Paul was considered to be integral to the construction industry, in particular, and overall development of the city. Brickyards were established at the south end of St. Paul Street, which helped supply the great need for brick to construct the numerous Greek Revival buildings situated throughout the City. This area as a hub construction activity was increased through the establishment of a stone-cutting yard and a nail factory.

During the 1840s and 1850s, the many small shops on St. Paul became the center of activity with the presence of many early industrial trades, including blacksmiths, carriage makers, sailmakers and brickmakers. The increase in the number of industries in this area also spurred on the construction of workers housing and small tenements. The statement of significance concluded that, “the growth of St. Paul St. as a residential neighborhood closely paralleled the growth of industry along the waterfront” (St. Paul St. – Statement of Significance p. 4).

Howard Street is believed to have been established after 1860, as only one home dates to this period, a Greek Revival house at 190 Howard Street. A large portion of the other houses on this street were constructed around, and shortly after the turn of the 20th century. This is attributed to this section of town being somewhat inaccessible until the time of the trolleys in the late 1880s, and then later, the advent of the automobile (Howard Street – Statement of significance, p.1).

The Statement of Significance for the South Winooski Avenue notes that this section of road was established in 1905 through the extension of Elm Street to the north to connect to Winooski Avenue, and to the south to

extend to Shelburne Street. Almost immediately, a large amount of housing construction was undertaken, so that after only twenty years, the streetscape was completed to its present composition (South Winooski Significance document, page 2). It notes that it comprises a significant street in the City for the number of housing units situated along its route.

Historic Maps and Archeological Sensitivity

A review of historic maps of the project area was conducted to attain an overview of the changing historical and environmental landscape within the project area. This review includes the study of historic structures that may be or may no longer be extant, alterations to road and rail systems, and changes in stream and river courses.

The 1833 Johnson map of Burlington, while not showing the project area, does show the city lot grid, only the central part of which was developed at this time (Map 3). The southern section of the city was completely undeveloped. The 1857 Walling map shows some settlement in this part of the city, with a few structures shown along Shelburne Road, (a.k.a St. Paul Street), near the project APE (Map 4). The 1869 Beers map and the 1890 Hopkins map illustrates that the City of Burlington, including this southern section of the city, continued to grow through the 19th century (Maps 5 and 6). It is interesting to note that on the 1890 map, the areas northwest and southeast of the Howard Street-Shelburne Road intersection were heavily settled, while there were no structures located on the estates on the northeast and southwest corners of the intersection. These are the areas that were to be intensely developed during the early part of the 20th century. South Winooski Avenue, which was established in 1905, was not depicted on any of these maps.


Other historic maps of Burlington were studied, including the 1830 Young map, the 1853 Presdee and Edwards map, and the 1862 Burlington Free Press map. However, these maps focused on the city center, and did not show any streets or details of the south end of the city.

The historic sensitivity of an area is based primarily on proximity to previously documented historic archeological sites, map-documented structures, or other documented historical activities. Because there are a number of existing 19th- and 20th-century structures, there is some sensitivity for historic archeological deposits to be present in the project vicinity. However, historic archeological deposits along roadsides are often absent, or are not considered potentially significant (Borstel 2005). In addition, during this time period, there is less potential for historic deposits to have been deposited and/or present within the front yards of domestic structures. Because of these factors, and the small amount of open space located between the house lots and the sidewalk and/or roadway, the historic archeological potential within the APE is considered to be low.

Archeological recommendations


Archeological potential is low within the project APE because of previous disturbance from road and sidewalk construction as well as historic development of houselots. Project design should limit disturbance to areas of existing sidewalk footprint as much as possible.

Intersection of South Winooski Avenue, Howard Street and St. Paul Street.
 City of Burlington, Chittenden County, Vermont
 Archeological Resource and Historic Assessment



BBS January 06, 2014 C:\HAA\CAD-GIS\ProjectFiles\4681-11-Waterbury\Library\4681-21-Map3.mxd

Intersection of South Winooski Avenue, Howard Street and St. Paul Street.
 City of Burlington, Chittenden County, Vermont
 Archeological Resource and Historic Assessment



BBS January 06, 2014 C:\HAA\CAD-GIS\ProjectFiles\4681-11-Waterbury\Library\4681-21-Map4.mxd

[illegible]

Map 5: Project Area. The map shows a field with a red line indicating the project area. A north arrow is located in the top left corner. A scale bar at the top indicates distances of 0, 250, and 500 feet. A legend in the bottom right corner identifies the red line as the 'Project Area' and the black line as 'Beers 1869'. The map is titled 'Map 5' and includes the Hartgen Archeological Associates Inc. logo.

Historical map of Hopkins, 1890, showing the Project Area outlined in red. The map includes street names like 5th W, Bay View, and Beach St, and various property owners. A legend indicates the red outline is the Project Area. A scale bar and north arrow are also present.

Legend

Project Area

HARTGEN
archeological associates inc

Map 6

ARCHITECTURAL DISCUSSION

Survey

There are 13 individual and associated structures and one landscape (a small public park) within or adjacent to the APE (Map 2). Eleven of these have previously been surveyed (Table 3). All structures have been numbered and are presented in Table 4. All are in excess of 50 years in age except the park's designed landscape. Captioned photographs of typical structures located within or adjacent to the APE are included in this report (Photos 7-11).

Table 4. Standing structures within or adjacent to the APE

Structure #	Photo	Map	Name/Address	Description of Building
1			420 South Winooski Avenue	A two-story gable-entry side-passage wood-frame house. The house is three-bays wide and is sheathed with vertical flush board siding on its first floor and within its gable wall on the street elevation. The second floor and secondary elevations are sheathed with conventional horizontal clapboards. Built 1938.
2	11		426 South Winooski Avenue	A one-and-one-half story wood-frame center passage dwelling with side-gambrel roof. The entry and flanking pairs of double-hung sash are sheltered by a shed-roofed porch which extends across the street façade and which is supported on four turned columns and accessed via a central stair. At the second floor level, a shed-roofed dormer which extends the width of the building has a centrally-disposed door flanked by single double-hung sash. The door gives access to a semi-circular platform with beaded board and open-work railing, cut into the slope of the porch roof. The house is sheathed with wood shakes. Slate roof. Built 1917.
3	11		428 South Winooski Avenue	A one-and-one-half story wood-frame house with side-gable roof and inset porch extending across its street elevation. The central door is flanked by single double-hung windows. The porch roof is supported on four pairs of squared columns. Exposed rafter tails of the roof and shed-roofed dormer associate this house with the bungalow or arts and crafts movements. It appears to occupy a stone foundation. The first floor is sheathed with clapboards; gable ends and dormers have wood shake sheathing. Slate roof. Built 1921.
4			434-436 South Winooski Avenue	A two-story wood-frame hipped roof multi-family dwelling, with two-story covered porch extending across the street façade. The building rests on a poured concrete foundation and is sheathed with clapboards on its first floor and with wood shakes at the second floor level. Built 1924.

Structure #	Photo	Map	Name/Address	Description of Building
5			442 South Winooski Avenue	A two-story wood-frame dwelling of foursquare plan, with a pyramidal roof. Covered with roughcast stucco, the house features arts-and-crafts detailing seen in the outlines of its dormer walls and one-story porch which extends across the street elevation. The former location of what may have been a bay window is seen on the Howard Street elevation. Windows are generally double-hung six-over-one sash although there are several windows having glazing patterns at variance with this standard. Built 1923.
6			476-478 St. Paul Street	A one-and-one-half story wood-frame double house, consisting of paired three-bay wide side-passage dwellings, the two transomed entrances paired in the center bays and sheltered by a shed-roofed porch supported on three turned columns. Shed-roofed dormers with paired double-hung sash light the gable-roofed second floor. The house appears to rest on a parged stone foundation, and is sheathed with clapboards. Built c.1865.
7	10		407 South Winooski Avenue	A two-story wood-frame foursquare plan catalog home with pyramidal roof, having hipped-roof dormers on two elevations. The first floor is sheathed with clapboards, the second floor and a one-story hipped roof enclosed porch attached to the south side of the house are sheathed with wood shakes. A gable-roofed entry supported on two columns shelters the street entrance. Built ca. 1923, according to plaque on the house. Has an associated clipped-gable one-bay wood-frame garage, which appears to be contemporary with the house.
8			Potvin Park	A triangular-shaped public park, bound on its South Winooski Avenue side by stone pavers, and on its other two sides by concrete sidewalks. Concrete walks are located within the body of the park as well. Wood railroad tie retaining walls are placed at right angles, creating tiered planting and seating areas. The latter features appear to date to c. 1990.
9	7		445 St. Paul Street	A one-and-one-half story wood-frame single family dwelling, three-bays wide with side-passage gable entry. A one-story hipped-roof enclosed porch is located on the north side of the house, which connects to a leanto addition attached to the side and back of the dwelling. Built ca. 1880 and ca. 1920.
10	7		451 St. Paul Street	A one-and-one-half story wood-frame single family house of cross-shaped plan with intersecting decorative slate roofs and sheathed with clapboards. Two-over-two double-hung sash throughout. Built c. 1880.

Structure #	Photo	Map	Name/Address	Description of Building
11			457 St. Paul Street	A three-story wood-frame mixed-use building consisting of residential units on the upper two floors and commercial spaces on the first floor. This flatiron-shaped building has a flat roof with a two-story leanto on the back. The first floor is sheathed with vertical wood siding and wood panels; the second upper floors are sheathed with aluminum siding. Fenestration is irregular, combining single and paired sash, some with divided lights of various configurations, some undivided, representing numerous alterations during the last century. Built ca. 1885 with later additions.
12	8		125 Howard Street	A one-story flat-roofed wood-frame structure, apparently originally constructed either as a garage or for commercial purposes, but presently used as a dwelling. The building features a three-part double-hung window on its street elevation; principal entry is via a door in the east elevation. A porch covered with a shed roof extends across the south face of the building. Appears to have been built, or modified to its current form, contemporary with the construction of 463-4654 St. Paul Street, ca. 1924.
13	8		463-465 St. Paul Street	A two-and-one-half story wood-frame side gable gambrel-roofed mixed use building, resting on a concrete foundation and having clapboard sheathing on its first floor (except on around the storefronts, which have vertical wood siding) and wood shakes above. The building is four irregular bays wide; the first floor contains doors to the upper floors and two storefronts of irregular width. Windows throughout, including the two shed-roofed dormers in the roof, have four vertically oriented lights over an undivided lower sash. Built ca. 1924.
14	9		469-471 St. Paul Street	A two story gambrel-roofed gable-entry masonry and wood frame multi-family home. The basement and first floor have exterior walls of rusticated concrete block; the second floor, gable ends and shed-roof dormers are sheathed with clapboards. A three-story covered porch extends across much of the street façade of this building, constructed c. 1925.



Photo 7. View looking southwest at 445 (on the right) and 451 St. Paul Street. These dwellings are typical of the small houses built in this part of the city in the late 19th century.



Photo 8. View looking southwest at 463-465 St. Paul Street (at center left) and 125 Howard Street (center right).



Photo 9. View looking southwest at 469-471 St. Paul Street. This structure is typical of the “flats” type multi-family dwellings constructed in the project APE in the 1920s.



Photo 10. View looking southwest at 407 South Winooski Street. Built in ca. 1923 for John W. Killary, this foursquare house is typical of single-family dwellings constructed in the project APE at that time.



Photo 11. View looking south on South Winooski Avenue, with number 428 seen at far right. This view shows the terraced front yards with inset concrete stairs and embrasures typical in this part of the project APE; these features may be contemporary with the construction of these houses in the 1910s and 1920s.

Associated Landscape Features

Sidewalks and Curbs

Sidewalks within the project APE are typically concrete and are separated from the street by grass plats measuring roughly between four and ten feet in width. The associated curbs, when present, are also concrete.

Retaining walls

Retaining walls of recent construction date are located within the park.

Other Street Furniture

No street furniture—hitching posts, carriage blocks, benches etc., are located within the project APE.

Historic Plantings and Landscape Features

Several houses on the east side of South Winooski Street have private stairs inset into the terraced lawns. These stairs negotiate the change in elevation between the public sidewalk and the upper terrace of the front yards of these dwellings (Photo 11). All appear to date to the second quarter of the 20th century.

Some of the houses have substantial plantings in their front yards, or in the grassy median between the sidewalk and street.

Architectural Recommendations

Impacts to mature plantings should be avoided. Impacts to stone paved walkways and to privately-owned concrete stairs or their embrasures should also be avoided.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Beers, F.W.

- 1871 *Atlas of Chittenden County, Vermont*. By F.W. Beers & Co., New York. Reprinted in 1971 by Charles E. Tuttle Company, Rutland, Vermont.

Consulting Archaeology Program

- 2003 *Limited Archaeological Phase II Site Evaluation of the McNeil Site (VT-CH-93) and Phase I Site Identification Survey of Adjacent Ares for the Proposed Food Enterprise Center Project, Burlington Intervale, Chittenden County, Vermont*. Consulting Archaeology Program, University of Vermont, Report No. 359. On file at the VDHP in Montpelier.

Doll, Charles G., Wallace M. Cady, James B. Thompson, Jr. and Marland P. Billings

- 1961 *Centennial Geologic Map of Vermont*. State of Vermont Geological Survey, Waterbury, Vermont.

Hopkins, G.M

- 1890 *Map of the City of Burlington, Vermont from Official Records, Private Plans and Actual Surveys*. Philadelphia, PA. Accessed at [www://cdi.uvm.edu/collections](http://cdi.uvm.edu/collections)

Hyde, Arthur L. and Frances P. Hyde, editors

- 1991 *Burial Grounds of Vermont*. Published by The Vermont Old Cemetery Association, Bradford, VT.

Johnson, John

- 1833 *Village of Burlington, Vermont*. Lithograph of Endicott and Swett, NY. Accessed at www://cdi.uvm.edu/collections

McClellan, C.

- 1856 *McClellan's Map of Chittenden County, Vermont 1856*. Surveyed by J. Chace, Jr., Troy, New York. Published by C. McLellan & Co., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Presdee and Edwards

- 1853 *Map of Burlington, Vermont*. Lithograph of Sarony and Major, NY. Accessed at www://cdi.uvm.edu/collections

Thomas, Peter A.

- 1983 *Burlington M5000(3)- Howe Farm Archaeological Report*. Department of Anthropology, University of Vermont. Report No. 45. On file at the VDHP, Montpelier, VT.
- 1989 *Vermont Gas System's Proposed Pipeline, Winooski Intervale, Preliminary Archaeological Review*. Report completed for the Vermont Gas Systems, Inc., Burlington, Vermont. On file at the VDHP in Montpelier.

Thomas, Peter A. and R. Scott Dillon

- 1988 *Archaeological Reconnaissance Survey, Pine Grove Terrace, Winooski, Vermont*. Department of Anthropology, University of Vermont. Report No. 90. One file at the VDHP in Montpelier.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)

- 2011 Web Soil Survey 2.0, National Cooperative Soil Survey, accessed on June 22, 2011 at <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/> United States Geological Survey (USGS).

University of Vermont Consulting Archaeology Program

- 2012a *Archaeological Resources Assessment for the Proposed Pearl Street/Prospect Street/Colchester Avenue Intersection Scoping Study, Burlington, Chittenden County, Vermont.* Submitted by Charles Knight, University of Vermont Consulting Archaeology Program, Burlington. Report No. 703.
- 2012b *Historic Resources Review for the Pearl Street, Prospect Street and Colchester Avenue Intersection Scoping Study, Burlington, Chittenden County, Vermont.* Submitted by Catherine A. Quinn and Kate Kenny, Consulting Archaeology Program, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT. Report No. 704.
- 2012c *Addendum to the Historic Resources Review for the Pearl Street, Prospect Street and Colchester Avenue Intersection Scoping Study, Burlington, Chittenden County, Vermont.* Submitted by Charles Knight, Consulting Archaeology Program, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT.

United States Geological Survey (USGS)

- 1987 *Burlington, Vermont 7.5' Topographic Quadrangle.* Surveyed 1954. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

Vermont Division for Historic Preservation (VDHP)

- 1985 State of Vermont Historic Preservation, State Register of Historic Places. Reference HP-002, Disk 27. Produced by State of Vermont, BGS-Public Records. Montpelier, VT.
- 1989 Vermont Historic Preservation Plan. State of Vermont Agency of Development and Community Affairs. Montpelier, VT.
- 1991 Vermont's Prehistoric Cultural Heritage. Vermont Historic Preservation Plan. State of Vermont Agency of Development and Community Affairs. Montpelier, VT.
- 2002 Guidelines for Conducting Archeology in Vermont. The Vermont State Historic Preservation Office, Montpelier, VT.
- 2009 The Vermont Division for Historic Preservation Internet Mapping Site.
http://maps.vermont.gov/imf/imf.jsp?site=ACCD_VermontArcheoMapPubExpert. Accessed February 14, 2012.

Wainwright, C.

- 1862 *The Village of Burlington, Vermont.* Drawn by C. Wainwright. Published by the Burlington Free Press. Accessed at www://cdi.uvm.edu/collections.

Young, A. B.

- 1830 *Plan of Burlington Village, Vermont.* Drawn by A. B. Wainwright. Lithography by Pendleton, 157 Broadway, NY. Accessed at www://cdi.uvm.edu/collections.

Intersection of South Winooski Avenue, Howard Street and St. Paul Street
City of Burlington, Chittenden County, Vermont
Archeological Resource and Historic Assessment

APPENDIX I: VDHP Environmental Predictive Model

VERMONT DIVISION FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION

Environmental Predictive Model for Locating Pre-contact Archaeological Sites

Project Name South Winooski Intersection **County** Chittenden **Town** City of Burlington
DHP No. **Map No.** **Staff Init.** **Date** /2017

Additional Information

Environmental Variable	Proximity	Value	Assigned Score
A. RIVERS and STREAMS (EXISTING or RELICT):			
1) Distance to River or Permanent Stream (measured from top of bank)	0- 90 m 90- 180 m	12 6	
2) Distance to Intermittent Stream	0- 90 m 90-180 m	8 4	
3) Confluence of River/River or River/Stream	0-90 m 90 –180 m	12 6	
4) Confluence of Intermittent Streams	0 – 90 m 90 – 180 m	8 4	
5) Falls or Rapids	0 – 90 m 90 – 180 m	8 4	
6) Head of Draw	0 – 90 m 90 – 180 m	8 4	
7) Major Floodplain/Alluvial Terrace		32	
8) Knoll or swamp island		32	
9) Stable Riverine Island		32	
B. LAKES and PONDS (EXISTING or RELICT):			
10) Distance to Pond or Lake	0- 90 m 90 -180 m	12 6	
11) Confluence of River or Stream	0-90 m 90 –180 m	12 6	
12) Lake Cove/Peninsula/Head of Bay		12	
C. WETLANDS:			
13) Distance to Wetland (wetland > one acre in size)	0- 90 m 90 -180 m	12 6	
14) Knoll or swamp island		32	
D. VALLEY EDGE and GLACIAL LAND FORMS:			
15) High elevated landform such as Knoll Top/Ridge Crest/ Promontory		12	
16) Valley edge features such as Kame/Outwash Terrace**		12	12

17) Marine/Lake Delta Complex**		12	
18) Champlain Sea or Glacial Lake Shore Line**		32	32
E. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS:			
19) Caves /Rockshelters		32	
20) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Natural Travel Corridor <input type="checkbox"/> Sole or important access to another drainage <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage divide		12	12
21) Existing or Relict Spring	0 – 90 m 90 – 180 m	8 4	
22) Potential or Apparent Prehistoric Quarry for stone procurement	0 – 180 m	32	
23)) Special Environmental or Natural Area, such as Milton aquifer, mountain top, etc. (these may be historic or prehistoric sacred or traditional site locations and prehistoric site types as well)		32	
F. OTHER HIGH SENSITIVITY FACTORS:			
24) High Likelihood of Burials		32	
25) High Recorded Site Density		32	
26) High likelihood of containing significant site based on recorded or archival data or oral tradition		32	
G. NEGATIVE FACTORS:			
27) Excessive Slope (>15%) or Steep Erosional Slope (>20)		- 32	
28) Previously disturbed land as evaluated by a qualified archeological professional or engineer based on coring, earlier as-built plans, or obvious surface evidence (such as a gravel pit)		- 32	-32
** refer to 1970 Surficial Geological Map of Vermont			
			Total Score: 24
Other Comments :			
0- 31 = Archeologically Non- Sensitive 32+ = Archeologically Sensitive			



ATTACHMENT 2:

LOCAL CONCERNS MEETING NOTES

MEETING NOTES

PROJECT: WHSP: Winooski - Howard - St Paul Scoping Study

MEETING: Public Meeting – Local Concerns

DATE: November 12, 2016

LOCATION: Potvin Park, Burlington VT

ATTENDEES: **RSG:** Corey Mack, PE; Roxanne Meuse, EIT
City of Burlington DPW: Martin Lee, PE; Chapin Spencer, Director
Steering Committee: Scott Mapes, PE, Esq; RJ Lalumiere
Neighborhood, Residents, and Public: Laura Gibson, Nina Chill, John Kassel, Jason VanDriesche, Peter Keating, Robert Viskup, Zyna Martin, Julie Campoli, Martin Lee, Jessica Oski, Joel Baird, RJ Lalumiere, Brad Ketterling, Tree Spaulding, Andrew Geurtin, Karen Freudenberger, Mark Freudenberger, Glenna Copeland, Rod Copeland, Matt Stanton, Margo Parris, Dwight Aseltine, Andy Simon, Erik Brotz, Leslie Noble

1. INTRODUCTION / PROJECT BACKGROUND

The local concerns public meeting was held at Potvin Park in Burlington on November 12, 2016 from 10 am to approximately 11:30 am. The meeting was publically advertised 2 weeks prior to the meeting date.

Martin Lee, DPW: Introduced the project and welcomed all who attended. The project is funded by a Bicycle and Pedestrian Grant from VTrans, and RSG was selected to perform the study.

Corey Mack, RSG: Introduced the project, objectives, and project area. Discussed the background and previous studies, and that this project is an effort to identify short and long term improvements to the intersection.

C. Mack: This meeting is to discuss the experience of pedestrians, bicyclists, and drivers traveling through the intersection, identify issues that challenge this travel, and develop concepts that improve safety, efficiency, and comfort for all users. This is the first public meeting in the process. There will be at least two more public meetings: one to discuss the alternatives developed further, and one for

the final report that documents the project development to be approved. These meetings will be scheduled and advertised at a later date.

Jason Van Dreische, Local Motion: Noted that the project should highlight immediately implementable solutions that can be fast tracked through the project development process for a spring-time implementation of a demonstration project with simple products: paint and flexible bollards. Interim bump outs could be placed to decrease crossing distances for pedestrians and reduce the vehicle travel lane widths. Jason also suggested that bike boxes would be good. Jason stated that he wanted to see this work happen in the spring of 2017. Jason used chalk and a tape measure to draw his concepts on the road. Jason provided a sketch of his ideas after the meeting.

During the meeting, attendees walked around the intersection as a group while discussing concerns and ideas. After the presentation, comments and questions were collected from meeting attendees. The information in this summary document shall be combined with the comments collected by RSG to form a complete summary of the meeting.

2. SITE WALK AND OBSERVATIONS

The attendees walked around the intersection and discussed features of the intersection:

- Motorists were reported to speed up to the green (particularly southbound (SB) on S. Winooski Avenue from the stop sign at Spruce Street), knowing that the complex intersection sometimes results in longer waits due to the three signal phases. Possible speed humps?
- Pedestrians do not have signals, and some approaches are difficult to see which traffic lane has the green traffic signal.
- Signals can be difficult to see in some lighting situations; back plates were requested.
- Pedestrian actuation (button) is not present; difficult for pedestrians to know when to cross safely, and may negatively impact traffic operations since Howard Street will be given a green light to accommodate pedestrian travel, whether or not a pedestrian is present.
- Many concerns about children using the intersection, particularly given the proximity to Edmunds and Christ the King elementary schools and Callahan Park; difficult to tell when to safely walk; crossing guards are used during these peak times but not present outside of school travel times
- Right-turn on red rules are not clear:
 - SB St Paul?
 - SB Winooski to NB St Paul?
 - WB Howard to NB St Paul?
- St Paul and Union intersection, south and uphill of this intersection is a free northbound movement, which allows for a fast downhill approach to the intersection.

- There was considerable appreciation for the most recent curb extensions at the northwest corner of St Paul and Howard and the north corner of St Paul and Winooski. Additional curb extensions would be welcome.
- Many reports of speeding vehicles and red light running, requested additional enforcement at this intersection.
- No crosswalk is present at the South Winooski leg of the intersection making it difficult for many pedestrians to cross at this location. It was discussed that a “switchback” style sidewalk could be installed on the south side of Potvin Park to ensure that a new crosswalk and sidewalk meets accessibility standards. It was discussed that the switchback style sidewalk would still likely leave a goat path running from east to west on the southern tip of the grass by Potvin Park. It was stated that an exception could be made to install a sidewalk that does not meet ADA grades if safety is improved. The City Arborist would be consulted prior to impacting any trees in this area.
- Crossing at the northern St Paul – Winooski crosswalk requires particular attention as Howard street vehicles may be turning and not expecting pedestrians in this second crosswalk.
- Signal timing is not long enough for bikes to get moving from a stop through the intersection, particularly the EB Howard left to NB Winooski (due to the long crossing distance and the steep grade of EB Howard at the intersection).
- Without countdown timer, difficult to know if there is enough time for a pedestrian to cross the long east-west crosswalks.
- Two trucks were noted on SB Winooski (thru movements onto SB St Paul) during the LCM walkthrough, even though trucks are prohibited on Winooski
- Bus stop is inadequate, even though it serves many high school students; snow can often pile up rendering the bus stop difficult to access. Recommend a shelter, waiting pad, and bus pull off area.
- Camden, ME’s “Stop, Wait, Wave” campaign was noted as effective at uncontrolled crosswalks.
- SB Winooski was noted as a fire route from the Station at Winooski and Main.
- SB Winooski right turns to NB St Paul were discussed as possible to restrict, but residents along Winooski between Howard and Spruce often turn right here to head downtown.
- The unused area of asphalt behind temporary curb extensions could be used as an opportunity for a neighborhood art project.
- EB Howard bikes would benefit from being further up the hill and into the flat spot of the intersection; a curb extension and bike box may help bicycles position themselves better (but limit visibility to the traffic signals).
- Visibility to traffic lights may be restricted with curb extensions.

- With the large expanse of asphalt at the intersection, it is common for left turning vehicles to be passed by through / right-turning vehicles in the shoulder / intersection.
- Consider narrow travel lanes (10-foot).
- Consider extending bike lane markings through intersection.
- Consider extending the contra-flow bike lane double yellow on Winooski south, more into intersection.
- Consider including chevrons for bicycles through intersection.
- Consider moving the SB Winooski “BIKE LANE ENDS” north to allow for bicycles to position themselves in advance of the intersection.
- Trees and landscaping obscures speed limit sign.
- Stormwater management is a concern.
- St Paul is the designated truck route; design should discourage truck movements onto Howard.
- The grade of Potvin Park makes construction of a pedestrian crosswalk of Winooski a challenge; the landing area must be ADA-compliant.
- Potential to extend the “nose” south of Potvin Park to tighten the area where the roads intersect and to improve the crosswalks. This alternative would reduce the capability of making a right turn from South Winooski Ave onto St Paul Street. There was concern raised that restricting this right turn movement would be unfair for residents that live on South Winooski between Howard Street and Spruce Street. The idea of adding a slip lane through the “nose” at this intersection could alleviate this concern, but an unintended consequence of the slip lane could be that drivers will make the movement without stopping for vehicles on St Paul Street.
- The bus stop at Potvin Park does not have a designated waiting area.
- Participants generally preferred the traditional intersection approach to a roundabout:
 - The roundabout gives green space to the center of the road
 - The traditional design with curb extensions offers more useable green space for the adjacent commercial developments.

3. IDENTIFIED ISSUES:

The following list of general issues is a result of the comments and discussion from the meeting. It is intended to inform the development of the Purpose and Need Statement.

- a. Long crossing distances / expansive pavement
 - i. Pedestrians in crosswalks
 - ii. Bikes to travel from stop through expanse of pavement

- iii. Vehicles entering during yellow phase
- b. No pedestrian signals or button actuation
- c. No crosswalk at S Winooski Ave
- d. Underdeveloped transit facilities
- e. Poor visibility to traffic signals
 - i. Location of signals in center of intersection
 - ii. No back plates
- f. Speeding vehicles
- g. Trucks on prohibited route
- h. Potvin Park underutilized as a public space

4. POTENTIAL ALTERNATIVES:

Alternatives to consider in further evaluation:

- a. Demonstration project with paint and flexible posts
- b. Neighborhood art in remaining asphalt area
- c. Bike infrastructure to start from flat
- d. Curb extensions
- e. Accessible crossing of Winooski Ave
- f. New signal system – existing geometry
- g. Roundabout – existing geometry
- h. Realigned roadway – traffic signal
- i. Realigned roadway – roundabout
 - a. Traditional / multiple / oblong

5. ACTION ITEMS:

1. RSG to develop draft Purpose and Need Statement and Alternatives for Consideration List for review by Steering Committee
2. RSG to incorporate Action Item #1 into Existing Conditions Report, for review by Steering Committee and Public

These notes are the understanding of the preparer. Please contact the preparer with any discrepancies or differences in understanding. Notes compiled by Roxanne Meuse and Corey Mack – 11/17/16.







ATTACHMENT 3:

ALTERNATIVES PRESENTATION MEETING NOTES

MEETING NOTES

PROJECT: WHSP: Winooski - Howard - St Paul Scoping Study

MEETING: Public Meeting – Alternatives Presentation

DATE: June 27, 2017

LOCATION: ArtsRiot Event Space, Pine Street, Burlington VT

ATTENDEES: **RSG:** Corey Mack; Roxanne Meuse
City of Burlington DPW: David Allerton; Susan Molzon
Steering Committee: Scott Mapes; RJ Lalumiere; Kara Yelinek
Neighborhood, Residents, and Public: Joel Baird; Keith Schuler; Robert Viskup; Tabitha Tice; Paul Sonsone; Eric Brotz; Dev Nagel; Whitney Keefner; Andrea Gray; Mark Howe; Carl Rafferty; David Beckett; Brendan Hogan; Ron Wannaker; Amy Menten; Tony Redington; Ben Rutter; PJ McHenry; Sam Johnson; Peter Keating; Nina Chill; Dwight Asultine; Bill Stuno; Max Tracy

1. INTRODUCTION / PROJECT BACKGROUND

The Alternatives Presentation public meeting was held at the ArtsRiot Event Space on Pine Street in Burlington on June 27, 2017 from 6 PM to approximately 8 PM. The meeting was publically advertised 2 weeks prior to the meeting date.

Corey Mack, RSG: Introduced the project, objectives, and project area. Discussed the background, previous studies, existing conditions, and that this project is an effort to identify short and long term improvements to the intersection. Introduced the purpose and need and evaluation criteria for reviewing the alternatives.

C. Mack: Introduced and explained the alternatives studied, including:

1. Demonstration Project
2. New Signal System, Existing Geometry
3. New Signal System, Realigned Roadway
4. Dual Roundabout, Realigned Roadway
5. Modern Roundabout, Exiting Geometry

Tony Redington: Dismissed the dual roundabout as not a true roundabout alternative. Recognized the full size roundabout would most likely not fit in the geometry, but urged further evaluation of a smaller roundabout, or mini-roundabout. Suggested that the study would be flawed without a more thorough evaluation of a single mini-roundabout. C. Mack replied that a dual-mini roundabout was considered as Alternative 4, modified to eliminate the left turns between roundabouts due to the short intersection spacing. C. Mack noted that all literature and guidance reviewed did not recommend a mini-roundabout with five approach legs, as in the current intersection configuration. C. Mack added that a roundabout of any size is a technical challenge here given the sloping nature of St Paul and Howard Streets.


The presentation was concluded, and the audience was invited to comment directly on the alternative posters set up around the room, fill out comment cards, and vote on project priorities and preferred alternatives.

2. RESULTS OF INFORMAL POLLING AND DISCUSSIONS


The attendees reviewed the alternatives, discussed features of each alternative, and voted on project priorities and preferred alternative. The general results follow:

- The audience generally preferred Alternative 2.
 - Less concerned with congestion
 - More concerned about creating a livable, vibrant neighborhood
 - Preferred adding usable space on the corners and removing asphalt in favor of pedestrian space and shorter crossing distances
 - Strong sentiment to add pedestrian signals and buttons for pedestrian crossing
- Full size roundabout was excessive
 - Not consistent with fostering the neighborhood
 - Concern of increased vehicle speeds in Alternative 4 – Dual Roundabout
 - Prefer to give space to pedestrians than to central island inaccessible to vehicles

Votes for the preferred alternative (1 vote per participant):



Votes for the priority issues to address (3 votes per participant):




Ten participants voted to support the Demonstration Project; several requested the installation is for a longer period than one day.

These notes are the understanding of the preparer. Please contact the preparer with any discrepancies or differences in understanding. Notes compiled by Roxanne Meuse and Corey Mack – 6/28/17.

A vertical orange bar with a slight gradient and a thin white line running through it, located on the left side of the page.

ATTACHMENT 4:

ALTERNATIVE SKETCHES



SHEET: 1 of XXX	DATE: 03/15/17 DRAWING NO. A0	CITY OF BURLINGTON, VERMONT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS	 	PROJECT No. 16264		no.	revision	revision	date	by
		WINOOSKI - HOWARD - ST PAUL INTERSECTION SCOPING STUDY		DESIGNED BY: CDM						
		EXISTING CONDITIONS		CHECKED BY: CDM						
		DO NOTHING ALTERNATIVE		SCALE: AS SHOWN						

Alternative 1: Quick Build Project

LEGEND

TEMP. PLAZA / ART SPACE
AREA = 2,000 SF

TEMP. GREEN BIKE MARKINGS
LANES: 100 FT
BIKE BOXES: 1 EACH

CONES
EACH = 50

HAY BALES / PLANTERS
EACH = 26

TEMP. BIKE SYMBOLS
EACH = 1

TEMP. BIKE CROSSING MARKINGS
LENGTH = 38 FT

TEMP. STRIPING
4" WHITE: 150 FT
4" DOUBLE YELLOW: 75 FT

TEMP. SIGNS:
EACH: 5

STOP
HERE
ON RED

NO
TURN
ON RED

SCALE 1"=10'

10

5



0

10

20

↑



SHEET: 1 of XXX	DATE: 03/02/18 DRAWING NO: A1	CITY OF BURLINGTON, VERMONT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS	 	PROJECT No. 16264		no.	revision	revision	date	by
		WINOOSKI - HOWARD - ST PAUL INTERSECTION SCOPING STUDY		DESIGNED BY: CDM						
		ALTERNATIVE 1 - IMMEDIATE TERM DEMONSTRATION PROJECT		CHECKED BY: CDM						
				SCALE: AS SHOWN						

Alternative 2: New Signal System, Existing Geometry

LEGEND

NEW / REDEV. ASPHALT
AREA = 11,030 SF

NEW / REDEV. GREEN SPACE
AREA = 1,760 SF
NET INCREASE: +490 SF

NEW / REDEV. SIDEWALK
AREA = 2,290 SF

NEW PLAZA SPACE
AREA = 970 SF

NEW GREEN BIKE LANES
LENGTH = 105 FT

NEW BIKE BOXES
NUMBER = 1

NEW BIKE CROSSING MARKINGS
LENGTH = 26 FT

NEW SHARED LANE MARKINGS
NUMBER = 0

NEW YIELD MARKINGS
NUMBER = 0

NEW PEDESTAL SIGNALS
NUMBER = 10

NEW MAST ARM SIGNALS
NUMBER = 1

SCALE 1"=10'



10

5

0

10

20

SHEET: 1 of XXX	DATE: 03/15/17 DRAWING NO. A1	CITY OF BURLINGTON, VERMONT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS	 	PROJECT No. 16264		no.	revision	revision	date	by
		WINOOSKI - HOWARD - ST PAUL INTERSECTION SCOPING STUDY		DESIGNED BY: CDM						
		ALTERNATIVE 2 - SHORT TERM NEW SIGNAL SYSTEM - EXISTING GEOMETRY		CHECKED BY: CDM						
				SCALE: AS SHOWN						

A3: New Signal System, Realigned Roadway

LEGEND

NEW / REDEV. ASPHALT
AREA = 18,700 SF

NEW / REDEV. GREEN SPACE
AREA = 6,840 SF
NET CHANGE: +1,530

NEW / REDEV. SIDEWALK
AREA = 3,550 SF

NEW PLAZA SPACE
AREA = 750 SF

NEW GREEN BIKE LANES
LENGTH = 450 FT

NEW BIKE BOXES
NUMBER = 0

NEW BIKE CROSSING MARKINGS
LENGTH = 65 FT

NEW SHARED LANE MARKINGS
NUMBER = 0

NEW YIELD MARKINGS
NUMBER = 0

NEW PEDESTAL SIGNALS
NUMBER = 14

NEW MAST ARM SIGNALS
NUMBER = 0

SCALE 1"=10'



10

5

0

10

20

SHEET: 1 of XXX	DATE: 03/16/17 DRAWING NO. A1	CITY OF BURLINGTON, VERMONT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS	 	PROJECT No. 16264		no.	revision	revision	date	by	
		WINOOSKI - HOWARD - ST PAUL INTERSECTION SCOPING STUDY		DESIGNED BY: CDM							
		ALTERNATIVE 3 - LONG TERM DUAL SIGNAL SYSTEM - REALIGNED ROADWAY		CHECKED BY: CDM							
				SCALE: AS SHOWN							

A4: Dual Roundabout, Realigned Roadway



LEGEND

NEW / REDEV. ASPHALT
AREA = 20,320 SF

NEW / REDEV. GREEN SPACE
AREA = 8,830 SF
NET CHANGE: +1,100

NEW / REDEV. SIDEWALK
AREA = 4,840 SF

NEW PLAZA SPACE
AREA = 0 SF

NEW MOUNTABLE CURB
AREA = 4,420 SF

NEW GREEN BIKE LANES
LENGTH = 100 FT

NEW BIKE BOXES
NUMBER = 0

NEW BIKE CROSSING MARKINGS
LENGTH = 0 FT

NEW SHARED LANE MARKINGS
NUMBER = 12

NEW YIELD MARKINGS
NUMBER = 5

NEW PEDESTAL SIGNALS
NUMBER = 0

NEW MAST ARM SIGNALS
NUMBER = 0

SCALE 1"=10'

10 5 0 10 20

SHEET: 1 of XXX	DATE: 03/15/17 DRAWING NO. A1	CITY OF BURLINGTON, VERMONT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS		PROJECT No. 16264		no.	revision	revision	date	by
		WINOOSKI - HOWARD - ST PAUL INTERSECTION SCOPING STUDY		DESIGNED BY: CDM						
		ALTERNATIVE 4 - LONG TERM DUAL ROUNDABOUT - REALIGNED ROADWAY		CHECKED BY: CDM						
				SCALE: AS SHOWN						

A5: Modern Roundabout, Existing Geometry

LEGEND

NEW / REDEV. ASPHALT
AREA = 1,450 SF

NEW / REDEV. GREEN SPACE
AREA = 7,140 SF
NET CHANGE: +3,380

NEW / REDEV. SIDEWALK
AREA = 3,490 SF

NEW PLAZA SPACE
AREA = 0 SF

NEW MOUNTABLE CURB
AREA = 7,140 SF

NEW GREEN BIKE LANES
LENGTH = 55 FT

NEW BIKE BOXES
NUMBER = 0

NEW BIKE CROSSING MARKINGS
LENGTH = 0 FT

NEW SHARED LANE MARKINGS
NUMBER = 8

NEW YIELD MARKINGS
NUMBER = 5

NEW PEDESTAL SIGNALS
NUMBER = 0

NEW MAST ARM SIGNALS
NUMBER = 0

SCALE 1"=10'



10

5

0

10

20

SHEET: 1 of XXX	DATE: 03/15/17 DRAWING NO: A1	CITY OF BURLINGTON, VERMONT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS	 	PROJECT No. 16264		no.	revision	revision	date	by
		WINOOSKI - HOWARD - ST PAUL INTERSECTION SCOPING STUDY		DESIGNED BY: CDM						
		ALTERNATIVE 5 - LONG TERM MODERN ROUNDABOUT - EXISTING GEOMETRY		CHECKED BY: CDM						
				SCALE: AS SHOWN						



ATTACHMENT 5:

CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE



Burlington BP15(16): Winooski - Howard -
St Paul Intersection Scoping Study

CALCULATED BY: CDM DATE: 02/09/18
CHECKED BY: RTM DATE: 08/31/17

QUANTITY CALCULATIONS

QUICK BUILD PROJECT					
NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QTY	UNIT COST	COST
630.15	FLAGGERS	HR	24	\$28.00	\$ 672.00
635.11	MOBILIZATION / DEMOBILIZATION	LS	1	\$900.00	\$ 900.00
641.10	TRAFFIC CONTROL	LS	1	\$2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00
646.201	4" WHITE LINE, WATERBORNE PAINT	LF	290	\$1.00	\$ 290.00
646.2111	4" YELLOW LINE, WATERBORNE PAINT	LF	150	\$2.00	\$ 300.00
646.261	24" STOP BAR, WATERBORNE PAINT	LF	16	\$7.50	\$ 120.00
646.301	LETTER OR SYMBOL, WATERBORNE PAINT	EACH	8	\$150.00	\$ 1,200.00
646.85	REMOVAL OF EXISTING PAVEMENT MARKINGS	SF	32	\$10.00	\$ 320.00
900.620	S.P. (FLEXIBLE POSTS)	EACH	29	\$100.00	\$ 2,900.00
900.670	S.P. (BICYCLE LANE GREEN PAINT)	SF	600	\$5.00	\$ 3,000.00
				Subtotal =	\$ 11,702.00
				Contingency (20%) =	\$ 2,300.00
				Total Conceptual Cost Estimate - Long Term Demonstration Project =	\$ 14,002.00

ALTERNATIVE 2					
NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QTY	UNIT COST	COST
203.15	COMMON EXCAVATION	CY	120	\$30.00	\$ 3,600.00
203.16	SOLID ROCK EXCAVATION	CY	20	\$100.00	\$ 2,000.00
204.20	TRENCH EXCAVATION OF EARTH	CY	170	\$45.00	\$ 7,650.00
204.21	TRENCH EXCAVATION OF ROCK	CY	20	\$150.00	\$ 3,000.00
204.22	TRENCH EXCAVATION OF ROCK	CY	10	\$60.00	\$ 600.00
204.30	GRANULAR BACKFILL FOR STRUCTURES	CY	85	\$50.00	\$ 4,250.00
210.10	COLD PLANING-BIT.PAVEMENT	SY	1,230	\$5.00	\$ 6,150.00
301.35	SUBBASE OF DENSE GRADED CRUSHED STONE	CY	100	\$45.00	\$ 4,500.00
490.30	SUPERPAVE BITUMINOUS CONCRETE PAVEMENT	TON	140	\$175.00	\$ 24,500.00
601.0915	18" CPEP	LF	20	\$50.00	\$ 1,000.00
604.20	PRECAST REINFORCED CONC. CB W/ CI GRATE	EACH	1	\$4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00
616.28	CAST-IN PLACE CONCRETE CURB, TYPE B	LF	460	\$50.00	\$ 23,000.00
618.10	PORTLAND CEMENT CONC. SIDEWALK, 5 INCH	SY	260	\$75.00	\$ 19,500.00
618.30	DETECTABLE WARNING SURFACE	SF	96	\$50.00	\$ 4,800.00
630.10	UNIFORMED TRAFFIC OFFICERS	HR	80	\$50.00	\$ 4,000.00
630.15	FLAGGERS	HR	720	\$28.00	\$ 20,160.00
635.11	MOBILIZATION / DEMOBILIZATION	LS	1	\$38,800.00	\$ 38,800.00
641.10	TRAFFIC CONTROL	LS	1	\$20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00
646.401	DURABLE 4" WHITE LINE	LF	100	\$2.00	\$ 200.00
646.4110	DURABLE 4" YELLOW LINE	LF	500	\$3.00	\$ 1,500.00
646.461	DURABLE 24" STOP BAR	LF	70	\$12.00	\$ 840.00
646.491	DURABLE LETTER OR SYMBOL	EACH	9	\$200.00	\$ 1,800.00
646.501	DURABLE CROSSWALK	LF	192	\$15.00	\$ 2,880.00
678.23	WIRED CONDUIT	LF	800	\$30.00	\$ 24,000.00
900.620	S.P. (TRAFFIC SIGNAL PEDESTAL)	EACH	10	\$20,000.00	\$ 200,000.00
900.620	S.P. (TRAFFIC SIGNAL MAST ARM)	EACH	1	\$40,000.00	\$ 40,000.00
900.620	S.P. (TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROLLER)	EACH	1	\$20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00
900.645	S.P. (TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION)	LS	1	\$29,000.00	\$ 29,000.00
900.645	S.P. (TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS)	LS	1	\$10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00
900.670	S.P. (BICYCLE LANE GREEN PAINT)	SF	600	\$10.00	\$ 6,000.00
900.670	S.P. (PEDESTRIAN PLAZA)	SF	970	\$25.00	\$ 24,250.00
				Subtotal =	\$ 551,980.00
				Drainage / Stormwater Enhancements (10%) =	\$ 56,000.00
				Traffic Signs (2%) =	\$ 12,000.00
				Landscaping (5%) =	\$ 28,000.00
				Erosion / Sediment Control (2%) =	\$ 12,000.00
				Contingency (25%) =	\$ 138,000.00
				Total Conceptual Cost Estimate for Construction - Alternative 2 =	\$ 797,980.00
				Consultant Design Services (15%) =	\$ 120,000.00
				Resident Engineer Inspection Services (12%) =	\$ 96,000.00
				Project Management (10%) =	\$ 80,000.00
				Total Conceptual Project Costs - Alternative 2 =	\$ 1,093,980.00

		Study Goals			Alternatives					
Issues to Address		Improve safety for people walking, bicycling, driving, and taking transit	Meet (and exceed) accessibility standards	Foster the emerging neighborhood	0 No Build	1 Quick Build Project Existing Geometry	2 New Signal System Existing Geometry	3 Dual Signal System Realigned Roadway	4 Dual Roundabout Realigned Roadway	5 Modern Roundabout Existing Geometry
1	Crossing length for all modes	x	x		no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
2	Pedestrian crossing guidance	x	x		no change	no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
3	Pedestrian crossing of S. Winooski Ave	x	x		no change	no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
4	Crash rate	x			no change	no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
5	Comfortable transit facilities		x		no change	no change	opportunity	opportunity	opportunity	opportunity
6	Visibility of traffic signals to motorists	x	x		no change	no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
7	Vehicle speeds	x			no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
8	Running of red lights	x			no change	no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
9	Wrong-way driving on S. Winooski Ave	x			no change	no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
10	Trucks on S. Winooski Ave	x			no change	no change	no change	no change	no change	no change
11	Right turns on red	x			no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
12	Use of Potvin Park			x	no change	no change	improvement	worse	worse	no change
13	Public gathering space			x	no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	no change	worse
14	Bicycle infrastructure	x	x		no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	opportunity	opportunity
15	Stormwater management				no change	no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	improvement
16	Support relationship between residents and businesses			x	no change	improvement	improvement	improvement	no change	worse
Additional key study elements		Metric								
Traffic Operations: Level of Service		Level of Service			C	C	E (possible C)	C+C	B+B	B
Traffic Operations: Total Average Queue Length		Total Average Queue Length (sum of all five approaches)			730 ft	760 ft	1220 ft	1100 ft	690 ft	310 ft
Reduction in Asphalt Area		Change in Impermeable Area			n/a	0 sf	-1270 sf	-5300 sf	-7720 sf	-3760 sf
Parking Options: Change in Parking Spaces		Change in Parking Spaces			n/a	0	0	-7 on-street spaces	-8 on-street, -5 off-street	-1 on-street
Right of Way Impacts		Square Feet / Number of Properties			0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0	7 / 1	3300 / 2
Utility Impacts		Number of Impacted Poles			0	0	0	1	1	1
Historic / Archaeologic Impacts		Area of Potential Impact (SF) / Number of Historic Structures			0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0	7 / 0	950 / 1
Timeframe		Immediate (1 year) / Short (2-5 years) / Long (5+ years)			Immediate	Immediate	Short - Long	Long	Long	Long
Planning Level Cost Estimate		2018 Dollars			\$0	\$5,000 - 25,000	\$1.0 - 1.5 million	\$1.7 - 2.2 million	\$1.9 - 2.4 million	\$1.6 - 2.1 million



ATTACHMENT 6:

DEMONSTRATION PROJECT RESULTS WEBSITE



Howard Corners Demonstration Project

What's Up, Pop-Up?

A pop-up demonstration project was installed on Wednesday, July 26 at the five-leg intersection of South Winooski Ave, Howard Street, and St. Paul Street in Burlington's South End. It stayed up through the following Sunday to give residents, commuters, business owners, and visitors a chance to experience the look and feel of curb extensions and how these would change the experiences of pedestrians, bicyclists, and drivers at the intersection.

The City of Burlington Department of Public Works (DPW), Local Motion, and the project team from the nearly complete **Winooski / Howard / St. Paul Intersection Scoping Study** (the "WHSP study") all contributed in various ways to pull this demonstration project together on short notice. The timing of the pop-up aligned well with a community workshop (Let's Talk Progress¹) where tables, chairs, and planters were constructed then installed as part of the pop-up (and to be used in future pop-ups).

¹<https://letstalkprogress.org/speaker-series/andrewhoward>

Learn more about the Winooski / Howard / St. Paul Intersection Scoping Study here.²

Your Feedback



The project team of the WHSP study has received feedback about the pop-up in the following ways:

- We received 24 emails through our project email address (walkbikeWHSP@gmail.com)³
- We saw 8 comments on Facebook @Local Motion and @Bikeable Burlington Now (the latter of which is a closed group)
- We talked to two business owners and a resident in person (this was of course done while eating gelato at Shy Guy Gelato and raw chia seed pudding at Tomgirl Juice)

We're excited to see that the feedback has been largely positive – 80% of respondents liked (or loved!) it. This response implies that the long-term version of this demonstration project – presented as **Alternative 2** in the WHSP study's Alternatives Analysis Report⁴ – would also have public support.


²<https://www.burlingtonvt.gov/DPW/Winooski-Howard-St-Paul-Intersection-Scoping-Study>

³<mailto:walkbikeWHSP@gmail.com>

We now would like to respond to the most common concerns and questions raised both by those who liked the project overall and those who did not. In some cases, we needed to clarify the intention of certain design features. In other cases, we'll need to take some time to further study if some of the designs and dimensions could be improved.

If you submitted a question or concern, or if you're interested to see what others were saying about the demonstration project, **READ ON!**

Demonstration Project Responses



⁴[https://www.burlingtonvt.gov/sites/default/files/u504/WHSP Scoping - 2 - Alternatives Analysis - Draft 170621.pdf](https://www.burlingtonvt.gov/sites/default/files/u504/WHSP%20Scoping%20-%20Alternatives%20Analysis%20-%20Draft%20170621.pdf)

Responses from the Project Team



Q: What is the background to this demonstration project? Why was this intersection chosen?

A: This 5-leg intersection was identified in two PlanBTV documents (WalkBike planBTV and planBTV South End) as being a priority intersection, and the residents near the intersection have voiced their concerns to the City and are active proponents of change here to make it more safe for all modes. The safety concerns are mostly around walking and biking through the intersection, including the lack of walk signals, long crossing distances, and the absence of a marked crossing of South Winooski Ave. This intersection is complicated with its five-leg geometry and the steep slopes, so the City is conducting a scoping study (Winooski / Howard / St. Paul Intersection Scoping Study⁵) to better understand the deficiencies of and potential improvements to the intersection.

The pop-up is a short-term version of one of the long-term alternatives suggested by the study (not including certain features only possible with greater investment). The pop-up was installed by Local Motion and volunteers from RSG, the consulting firm hired to conduct the study, using materials that Local Motion had on hand. The chairs and tables placed outside of Shy Guy Gelato and the Neighborhood Market were built during a community workshop unrelated to this specific demonstration.

⁵<https://www.burlingtonvt.gov/DPW/Winooski-Howard-St-Paul-Intersection-Scoping-Study>

Q: An issue that this demonstration does not solve is the lack of walk signals. That is what the intersection really needs!

A: We agree that walk signals are crucial to making this intersection safer for pedestrians, but this was not possible to show during a short-term, low-cost demonstration project. The design of the long-term version of this includes new walk signals, with pedestrian push buttons, countdown timers, and all other associated ADA requirements. A crosswalk of South Winooski Ave is also included in the long-term design (currently there is no crosswalk on that leg) but this was also not possible to include in the demonstration.

Q: The extension of Potvin Park (between St. Paul Street and S. Winooski Ave) makes right turns from Winooski Ave onto St Paul Street very difficult and perhaps impossible or unsafe for drivers wanting to head downtown directly from Winooski Ave.

A: We are aware that this design change does make that turn more difficult, and some drivers/vehicles may need to drive east or west to then head north into downtown. The purpose of extending the island would be to allow for an accessible crosswalk of S. Winooski Ave (not shown in the demonstration project as a short-term version would not meet safety requirements). With the existing geometry and slopes, an ADA crosswalk that connects to the existing sidewalk in Potvin Park is unfortunately not possible. This extension of the park is necessary for a safe pedestrian crossing and connection, which indeed results in vehicles needing to make a tighter turn around the park or taking another route (the project team will look into how different vehicles can or cannot make the turn and include this information at the next public meeting and in the final report). If and when this alternative goes to final design stages, the exact dimensions will be determined, and if there is a possibility to mitigate extension of the park, it will be pursued.

Q: The extension of Potvin Park may make the intersection more difficult for bicyclists. Right now, bicyclists heading southbound on S Winooski have plenty of room to get to the side of cars also heading southbound on S Winooski, but the extension takes away a bicyclist's ability at a green light to stay clear to the right.

A: The long-term version of this alternative recommends extending the bike lane up to the end of the park's extension and adding a bike box, which may alleviate the concerns of some cyclists. However, a bike box may not be the best treatment here, and the project team will study this issue further.

Q: The curb extension in front of Shy Guy/Neighborhood Market is too big. Vehicles heading southbound on St. Paul Street will have a more difficult time turning right onto Howard Street and may not be able to turn right on red.

A: The demonstration project (which shows the same curb lines as the long-term version) extends the curb in a way that vehicles still have room to maneuver; standard lane and shoulder widths were used,

and turning radii of several vehicle types were modeled. The project team will investigate whether it makes sense to allow right turns on red for this movement. Moving the stop bar up closer is likely not a possibility. Several people observed that cars were stopping beyond the stop bar during the pop-up, so this is clearly an issue to study more. However, as long as vehicles can make the turn, the livability and safety benefits of the curb extension outweigh the prevention of right turns on red, according to the goals of the study set forth by members of the public, including residents and business owners.

Q: Will this design remove any parking?

A: The design does not include any parking removal.

Thank you for your feedback and your interest in this project!

To learn more about the Winooski / Howard / St. Paul Intersection Scoping Study, please visit the study's webpage here⁶.

Email us at WalkBikeWHSP@gmail.com⁷ to get on the contact list for email updates related to this project!

There will be one more public meeting (not yet scheduled) as part of this study to discuss the final recommendations and to review the draft final report (not yet completed). The last two meetings have had an excellent showing - roughly 30 people attended, many of them residents - and we hope to keep up the momentum!

Cover photo credit: Andrew Frost

All other photos by RSG

⁶<https://www.burlingtonvt.gov/DPW/Winooski-Howard-St-Paul-Intersection-Scoping-Study>

⁷<https://WalkBikeWHSP@gmail.com>